**Template for Assessment/Scoring Criteria and Selection of an Inspector**

Each of the criteria should be scored 1 (minimal evidence/not satisfactory) up to 10 (excellent evidence/completely satisfactory) and then weighting applied.

|  |
| --- |
| **Candidate:** *(insert practice and representatives name)* |
| **Assessment criteria**  | **Weighting (%)** | **Score (1-10) and comments** | **Weight x score** |
| 1. The candidate has demonstrated appropriate experience of work with unlisted/Grade II/Grade II\*/ Grade I listed/Major churches*(see Connexional guidance for Appointing a Quinquennial Inspector)* | 20% |  |  |
| 2. The candidate has demonstrated a relevant level of accreditation, skills and professional development*(see Connexional Guidance for Appointing a Quinquennial Inspector)* | 30% |  |  |
| 3. Ability to work to the Connexional guidance for Quinquennial Inspections and church repairs | 10% |  |  |
| 3. Evidence of the production of quality reporting which is clear and concise | 10%  |  |  |
| 4. Communication skills | 10% |  |  |
| 5. Support from references  | 10% |  |  |
| 6. Clear and appropriate fee structure | 10% |  |  |
| Total |  |  |  |

**Notes:**