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| The Revd Dr Nicola Price-Tebbutt  
Secretary of the Faith and Order Committee  
price-tebbuttn@methodistchurch.org.uk | 32/1. The Conference receives Part A of the Report. |
| | 32/3. The Conference, pursuant to Standing Order 129 (2) and (3):  
(i) commends the draft Conference Statement ‘Ministry in the Methodist Church’ to the Connexion for study, discussion and response;  
(ii) directs the Secretary of the Conference to ensure that the draft Statement is made widely available for study and discussion.  
(iii) invites Districts, Circuits and Local Churches to send comments on the draft Statement to the Secretary of the Faith and Order Committee to arrive not later than 1 February 2020. |
| | 32/5. The Conference directs the Secretary of the Conference to oversee joint work by the Faith and Order, Ministries, and Stationing committees to explore the aspects of changing patterns of ministry identified in paragraphs 2.3 to 2.6 of this report (particularly revisiting Releasing Ministers for Ministry, itinerancy and stationing, local pastoral ministry, and employed lay ministry), and, in consultation with the Authorisations Committee, to explore issues regarding lay employees being granted authorisations to preside at the Lord’s Supper, and to report on such joint work to the 2020 Conference. |
| | 32/6. The Conference directs the Ministries Committee to continue to give attention to pioneer ministry and to ensure that there is a gathering of learning and opportunities for collaborative reflection on questions of practice, policy and theology. |
| | 32/7. The Conference directs the Faith and Order Committee to explore theological and ecclesiological aspects of the nature of leadership in the Methodist Church and report to the 2020 Conference. |

**Part A:**

1. The 2014 Conference directed the Faith and Order Committee in consultation with the Ministries Committee to undertake work on the “theology and nature of lay and ordained ministry in the Methodist Church” (Resolution 35/2, 2014) and bring a final report no later than the 2018 Conference. This report comprises three parts:

1.1. Part A is a general report and introduction to the work;
1.2. Part B is a draft Statement on Ministry in the Methodist Church, presented for consideration as a draft Conference Statement under SO 129;

1.3. Part C has three purposes:

1.3.1. It highlights areas which may require further consideration but are not, or not solely, questions of faith and order and are therefore for other bodies in the life of the Church to explore. Whilst the Faith and Order Committee can resource and contribute to any further explorations, it is not within its remit to decide matters of policy;

1.3.2. It identifies areas where further theological work will be reported to a later Conference as it flows from, rather than forming part of, the draft Conference Statement. Some of these areas the Conference has already asked the Faith and Order Committee to consider, others have emerged from this piece of work;

1.3.3. It offers some reflections that emerge from the work which it may be helpful for the Conference to receive but do not appropriately form part of the draft Conference Statement.

2. This report is also the response to the following Conference directions to the Faith and Order Committee:

2.1. Resolution 2/3 from 2011. The Conference directed the Ministries Committee in consultation with the Faith and Order Committee to consider the issues raised in the section of the General Secretary’s report entitled “Patterns of ministry: discipleship and mission” and “a fluid ‘mixed economy’”;

2.2. Resolution 35/5 from 2012. The Conference directed the Faith and Order Committee in consultation with the Ministries Committee to consider the ways in which the Methodist Church can promote further hospitality, collaboration and mutuality between our orders of ministry;

2.3. Response to Memorial 8, 2014. The Conference directed the Faith and Order Committee “to ensure that a review of the role and responsibilities of church stewards and other forms of lay leadership is included in the review of ministry in the Methodist Church”;

2.4 Response to Memorials 2 and 3, 2017. The Conference directed the Faith and Order Committee to ensure that, as part of the work on ministry, it considers the issues raised in the light of the acknowledgement that current practice does not fully reflect the flexible patterns of ministry envisaged in the Releasing Ministers for Ministry report.

3. In the changed and changing contexts in which the British Methodist Church is situated, a variety of factors indicate a need for a new Statement on ministry. Reflection on the Church’s role in contemporary British society and changing patterns of work and social life, the declining membership in many traditional churches, pressures on stationing, increased lay employment for roles within the Church, explorations of fresh ways of being church and engagement with new communities, and a sense of there being ‘too much to do’ impact on patterns of ministry. The Ministries Committee and the Faith and Order Committee have acknowledged that there are a number of wide ranging questions about ministry in the Methodist Church which need addressing.1 These arise from many areas of the Church’s life including: the work of the Ministries Committee on local pastoral ministry and Pioneer Pathways; questions regarding stationing, itinerancy and the nature and availability of presbyteral and diaconal appointments; as part of ecumenical conversations, particularly with regards to episcopacy; and the work on the theology and ecclesiology underpinning the

---

1 The Methodist Church, 2014, *Faith and Order Committee report*, 2.2
4. Although the Methodist Church has engaged in much rich theological thinking with regards to ministry over the years, the Conference Statements and reports in which this is contained are not always well known or easily accessed. The new Statement (Part B of this report) sets out the Methodist Church’s understanding of ministry. It provides a framework for the Methodist people to participate in discerning our continuing response to God and engage theologically with opportunities and challenges that arise, and it encourages reflection on how we encourage each other to share in God’s mission in the varied contexts in which we live and work.

5. A Statement on ministry, or a report of the Faith and Order Committee, cannot provide specific responses to all of the issues that arise, nor would it be appropriate for it to do so. Some are a matter of policy and therefore for other bodies in the life of the Church to decide. Some are for Local Churches, Circuits and Districts to work out in their particular contexts. It is hoped that the new Statement will help resource such conversations and decision-making and encourage engagement with our shared theology and our corporate wisdom and experience. Part C of this report offers some further reflection on particular issues, indicating where this is best taken forward by another body. As theology and policy inform and shape each other, where appropriate it is suggested that this is done in dialogue with the Faith and Order Committee.

6. Conference Statements express our corporate understanding and wisdom and are intended to last for a considerable period of time. Part B of this report is therefore intended, if adopted by the Conference under SO 129, to be a considered Statement of the judgment of the Conference on ‘Ministry in the Methodist Church’ with a view to standing as such for several years (SO 129(1)). As thinking, and practice, in relation to some of the issues that prompted this Statement may continue to develop, it would not be appropriate for this to form part of the Statement itself. Part B therefore sets out the Methodist Church’s theological principles, and Part C offers further theological reflection (or highlights where it is needed) on particular aspects of the Church’s ministry. The Faith and Order Committee therefore proposes that Part B be dealt with as a draft Conference Statement and commended to the Connexion for study, discussion and response (Resolution 32/2). If the resolution is agreed then there will be a minimum of two years for study, discussion and response.

7. The Faith and Order Committee has met and corresponded with a variety of people, and adopted different means of consultation, in the preparation of this report. Such consultation has included workshops at the 2016 Conference, interviews, reflection days, group consultations and meetings with Church officers and other committees. A variety of people and groups have had the opportunity to comment on drafts of the report. Such a dialogical model of working was intentional, reflecting the theological process involved.

***RESOLUTION

32/1. The Conference received Part A of the Report.
Part B:

The Church of Christ, in every age beset by change but Spirit-led, must claim and test its heritage and keep on rising from the dead.

...

We have no mission but to serve in full obedience to our Lord: to care for all, without reserve, and spread his liberating Word. 
Fred Pratt Green (1903-2000)

1. The background and purpose of the Statement

1.1. In the early part of the 21st century, the British Methodist Church is encountering and exploring many questions about the form and nature of the ministry it undertakes. Such questions provide an opportunity for it to reflect on how, as a particular portion of the people of God, it discerns and responds to God’s call in changed and changing contexts. New situations and patterns of relating, the plethora of conversations about ministry in different areas of the Church’s life, and the diversity of views and experiences led to the recognition of a need for a new Statement on ministry. This Statement, therefore, sets out the British Methodist Church’s understanding of ministry.

1.2. Any understanding of ministry begins with an understanding of, and response to, God. Methodists are part of a people called by God to love and praise God for the sake of the world. This is explored further in section two and forms the foundation of this Statement. Section three notes our changing context, remembering that the Methodist story is one of change as, through God’s grace, we seek to find new ways to express and celebrate God’s love for all. The Methodist Church continues to affirm that the ministry of the whole people of God is central to its understanding of ministry (section 4). As part of the universal Church and mindful of their call to spread scriptural holiness, the Methodist people shape their life together in order to share in God’s mission (section 5) and to ensure that they stay true to God’s purposes (section 6). Section seven considers how we release and support people for particular ministries and leads to the invitation to all Methodists to engage with the thinking in this report within their own contexts as they participate in discerning our continuing response to God.

1.3. Conference Statements express our corporate understanding and wisdom and are intended to last for a considerable period of time. It is hoped that this Statement will help the Methodist people, and others, to think more clearly about the nature and purpose of ministry and how we corporately and personally respond to God’s call. Drawing on previous Conference Statements and reports, insights from the Methodist and wider Christian tradition, Scripture, and past and current experience, this Statement reflects a conversation between these different voices, thus modelling a process of theological discernment through conferring. It is a reference point for the Methodist people and it provides a framework and resource for exploring the particular questions about ministry that arise from time to time in different and changing contexts. Furthermore, it encourages reflection on how we engage with Scripture and tradition in the light of our experience and applied reason as we continue to seek to live faithfully in response to God’s call.

1.4. The themes of remembering, rearticulating and re-visionsing have shaped this work. Building on the corporate wisdom of the Methodist people across the years, this Statement helps the
Methodist Church to remember its previous theological thinking about ministry by drawing attention to those aspects that remain central to its shared understanding. In a new context, and in the light of experience, elements of this understanding are rearticulated or expanded, or areas of Methodist theology and practice that may require further consideration are highlighted. Any re-visioning can only begin from this point and will form part of the continuing reflection on ministry and the Methodist Church’s response to God.

1.5. There are several significant and foundational documents that the Methodist people are particularly encouraged to ‘remember’. Of particular importance are Clause 4 of the Deed of Union which sets out the doctrinal standards of the Methodist Church and Called to Love and Praise (1999), the Methodist Church’s key ecclesiological Statement. In addition, there are a number of reports, adopted by the Conference, which have continuing theological significance. Methodist theology exists within the context of the universal Church and, whilst there is a wealth of theological thinking from which we draw and to which we contribute, of particular note are the World Council of Churches convergence texts Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry (1982) and The Church: Towards a Common Vision (2013), and our joint work in relation to the Anglican-Methodist Covenant.

1.6. All human communities develop their own language and the language we use is shaped by our history, experience and dialogue with others. Some of our theological language comes from Scripture, some from tradition and some from contemporary society. Readers will come from different perspectives and backgrounds and understand theological language in different ways. Some terms will be familiar and we may therefore bring assumptions about what they mean; some terms may be new or feel obscure. Yet theological language is part of our striving for a deeper relationship with, and a better understanding of, God, and the terms we use have developed through dialogue and discernment. Theological language provides important insights and conveys shared wisdom. It says something about our identity as part of the people of God and is shaped by our particular history as well as by that of the wider Church. Christians from different traditions and Methodists from different cultural contexts sometimes use theological terms in different ways. Although different Churches use similar words to describe particular ministries they do not always mean the same thing.

1.7. The Bible is a key source for all Christian theology. In this Statement, the Bible has been read primarily to discern and articulate its great theme of God’s self-giving love in creation, in the person and work of Christ and in the hope that is set before us. Our understanding of ministry is situated within this story, and the key biblical images of the people of God and the Body of Christ are used to emphasise the priority of a corporate understanding of ministry over one that is focused on individual roles and gifts. Discussion of Christian ministry often concentrates on particular New Testament words and phrases, whether indicating particular roles or more general patterns of behaviour towards God or one another, and such discussions take place in several of those previous Methodist documents on which this Statement is built. It is rarely possible to translate these words, or to define the roles to which they point, with sufficient precision. For example, the words conventionally translated ‘ministry’ and ‘minister’ are used and understood in a variety of ways. They do not refer to one clearly defined concept but to a pattern of response to God. Our language of ministry is related to the way in which the New Testament talks about the service and ministry of Jesus and the way in which the witness and service of the Church flow from that. It is clear that the language and patterns of ministry in the New Testament are varied and fluid. Ministry is thus a dynamic term and we draw on a variety of words and metaphors to help convey its meaning. Whilst we see in the New Testament a variety of forms of ministry existing at different times and places, as “the Holy Spirit continued to lead...

---

2 See An Anglican-Methodist Covenant (2003) and the work of the Joint Implementation Commission www.anglican-methodist.org.uk/jic
the Church in life, worship and mission, certain elements from this early variety were further developed and became settled into a more universal pattern of ministry.” In the second and third centuries, a threefold pattern of bishop, presbyter and deacon became established as the pattern of ordained ministry throughout the Church. The Methodist Church claims continuity with this pattern of ministry within the ministry of the universal Church. Over time, the practical exercise of these ministries has undergone some considerable change, and in some communities the continuing functions have been differently distributed according to structures other than the threefold pattern. Part of the purpose of this Statement is to express how Methodists understand ministry.

2. Understanding ministry
Our understanding of ministry flows from the understanding that first we are a people called by God. What we believe about the nature of God and our response to God’s call will shape the way in which our ministry is understood and expressed.

2.1. Beginning with God
We know God primarily through God’s actions in human history. God is the source of all that is and everything, therefore, starts with God and God’s love for the world.

2.1.1. God’s outgoing all-embracing love for the whole of creation (God’s mission) began with the act of creation itself. As part of the creative act, God created humanity in God’s likeness to be in a loving relationship with God, others and the whole of creation and to be a sign of God’s faithful care for the whole universe. Through God’s revelation as Father, Son and Holy Spirit Christians have a Trinitarian understanding of God, believing that God’s being is a relationship of self-giving love.

2.1.2. The mission of God is focused in a new way in Jesus. We understand that “In the death and resurrection of Jesus, Christians saw both the completion of God’s mission and the decisive evidence that God reigns – in and through the love which allowed itself to be crucified for the sake of the world.” Through and in Jesus, God’s kingdom (the sovereign presence and activity of God) is established, although it is still to come in all its fullness. God offers us love, forgiveness, acceptance and new life in Christ.

2.1.3. Christians recall and summarise their understanding of God and God’s relationship with creation when they gather for the Lord’s Supper in their prayers of thanksgiving. For example, Methodists declare:

We praise you, gracious Father,  
on our Maker and Sustainer.  
You created the heavens and the earth  
and formed us in your own image.  
Though we sinned against you,  
your love for us was constant,  
And you sent your Son Jesus Christ  
to be the Saviour of the world.

Sharing our human nature,  
he was born of Mary  
and baptized in the Jordan.  
He proclaimed your kingdom, by word and deed,  
and was put to death upon the cross.

4 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 2.1.2.
5 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 2.1.4
6 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 2.1.3
You raised him from the dead;
you exalted him in glory;
And through him you have sent your Holy Spirit,
calling us to be your people,
a community of faith.7

2.2. **Called to be God’s people**

Christians therefore believe that they are called to be God’s people, and they understand this in multiple ways.

2.2.1. The concept of ‘the people of God’ has been significant throughout the history of God’s people. According to the Hebrew Scriptures, the people of Israel are called into being as a covenant people, the people of God, with a vocation to worship the one God, to live together justly in God’s name and to be a sign of this one God before the nations. The people of Israel experience God as liberator, lawgiver and source of renewal and hope. In the New Testament, texts relating to the people of God are recalled and applied to the ministry of Jesus and the life of the early Church. The vision of the Church as ‘the Body of Christ’ is another way of expressing this understanding. Through baptism, all Christians are united with Christ in his death and resurrection and are brought into a new relationship with each other, becoming a community that expresses God’s purpose for the entire human community.

2.2.2. Among Christian Churches there is consensus that:

“In a broken world God calls the whole of humanity to become God’s people. For this purpose God chose Israel and then spoke in a unique and decisive way in Jesus Christ, God’s Son. Jesus made his own the nature, condition and cause of the whole human race, giving himself as a sacrifice for all. Jesus’ life of service, his death and resurrection, are the foundation of a new community which is built up continually by the good news of the Gospel and the gifts of the sacraments. The Holy Spirit unites in a single body those who follow Jesus Christ and sends them as witnesses into the world. Belonging to the Church means living in communion with God through Jesus Christ in the Holy Spirit.”8

2.2.3. The Church is a community called into being by God to participate in God’s mission, witness to divine grace, and proclaim the kingdom of God as Jesus did.9 From God’s “reign and mission, exemplified in and established by Jesus”10, the Church derives its existence and purpose and thus discerns its calling. God’s mission does not belong to the Church but the Church participates in it and witnesses to God’s love through loving, suffering and service in our broken world.11 The Church is a sign, foretaste and instrument of God’s kingdom.12 In this imperfect human community, the presence of the Holy Spirit makes such witness possible.

2.3. **Called for a purpose**

God’s people are called to be set apart to love and praise God so that the world may believe. This double dynamic of turning to God and turning to the world is central to understanding ministry.

---

8 World Council of Churches, 1982, *Baptism Eucharist and Ministry*, M£1
9 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 2.1.1, 2.1.7
10 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 2.1.8
11 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 2.1.10 and see 1.4.3
12 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 1.4.1
2.3.1. God’s people are called to be set apart to love and praise God. 1 Peter 2, for example, draws on a range of Old Testament references to describe the Church as ‘a chosen race, a royal priesthood, a holy nation,’ all of which are rooted in and directed towards God. Similarly, Paul can say ‘we are the temple of the living God’ (2 Corinthians 6:16). The Church offers worship to the glory and praise of God,13 joyfully proclaiming God’s wonderful acts and celebrating God’s eternally loving nature. It consists of those who respond to God’s undeserved, abundant love in thanksgiving and joy. Through worship, fellowship and the work of the Holy Spirit Christians grow more Christ-like and participate in the life of God. Only if it remains a worshipping community will the Church live and speak the Gospel and serve Christ and its neighbours.14

2.3.2. In response to God’s call, God’s people are called to be set apart for the sake of the world, so that the world may know and experience God’s love and that all things may be reconciled to God. Amongst Christians, it is common understanding that “the Church belongs to God and does not exist for itself. It is by its very nature missionary, called and sent to witness in its own life to that communion which God intends for all humanity and for all creation in the kingdom”15 In the language of John’s Gospel, Christians are not ‘of the world’ (in that the source and foundation of their life is in God) but they are still ‘in the world,’ still a part of God’s creation, wrestling with its complexities, practicalities and imperfections and longing for the kingdom to come. Guided and equipped by the Holy Spirit, members of the Church participate in Jesus’ ministry of suffering and service, manifesting God’s grace and inviting hope in the God who has poured out his life for the life of the world.16

2.3.3. In the Scriptures this calling is expressed in terms of covenant. The idea of the covenant has been significant in Methodist thinking. For example in the annual Covenant Service Methodists remember that:

“God made a covenant with the people of Israel, calling them to be a holy nation, chosen to bear witness to his steadfast love by finding delight in the law. The covenant was renewed in Jesus Christ our Lord, in his life, work, death and resurrection. In him all people may be set free from sin and its power, and united in love and obedience. In this covenant God promises us new life in Christ. For our part we promise to live no longer for ourselves but for God.”17

Understandings of the covenant emphasise both grace and obedience. In the light of this, and with its distinctive emphases on “God’s grace and on holiness, commitment and social action” Methodism firmly places itself in the covenant tradition.18 The annual Covenant Service provides an opportunity to remember God’s generous, abundant love and that our response to this is rooted in thanksgiving.

2.3.4. The Methodist Church understands that its particular call is to spread scriptural holiness.19 Holiness has been a distinctive emphasis of Methodism from its inception. It is understood as a Christ-like characteristic that brings freedom from evil thoughts and actions. It is both the goal of Christian living and an experience possible, through God’s

---

14 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 3.2.7
16 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 2.1.7
18 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 2.2.9
19 Clause 4 of the *Deed of Union* states that the Methodist Church “ever remembers that in the providence of God Methodism was raised up to spread scriptural holiness through the land by the proclamation of the evangelical faith and declares its unfaltering resolve to be true to its divinely appointed mission.”
gift, for ordinary Christians (and not just the select few). It is both a gift and an aspiration. Holiness is personal but never individualistic: John Wesley was clear “the gospel of Christ knows no religion but social: no holiness but social holiness.” Following John Wesley’s example, Methodists were to preach God’s love and call people to new life: holiness is a blessing available to all.

2.4. **Responding to God’s call**

God’s call to be set apart for the sake of the world forms the foundation of our understanding of ministry. Those who believe God’s self-revelation in Jesus and accept Jesus as their Saviour become part of Christ’s Church. In responding to the grace of God, they share in God’s mission.

2.4.1. There are different ways of expressing this double dynamic of being set apart for the sake of the world and different ways in which people come to their understanding of ministry. It is rooted in our understanding of baptism, for, as Methodists are reminded in the Ordination Services: “All Christians are called through their Baptism and by the hearing of God’s word to ministry and service among the whole people of God and in the life of the world.” In common with the majority of Christian traditions, Methodism sees in baptism a sacrament of God’s grace and our response to it. We understand that those who are baptized are embraced by the love of God, incorporated into Christ and enfolded into the body of God’s people. Commitment to Christ “can truly be realized only in full participation in the worship, witness and service of the Christian community.” The baptized participate in fulfilling the mission of the Church “through the witness of their lives and, when possible, through the open proclamation of the good news of Jesus Christ.” The word ‘discipleship’ is an important way of expressing that living out of Christian faith to which Christians are called. In the 1982 convergence document, *Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry*, Churches agreed that:

> “Living in this communion with God, all members of the Church are called to confess their faith and to give account of their hope. They are to identify with the joys and sufferings of all people as they seek to witness in caring love. The members of Christ’s body are to struggle with the oppressed towards that freedom and dignity promised with the coming of the Kingdom. This mission needs to be carried out in varying political, social and cultural contexts. In order to fulfil this mission faithfully, they will seek relevant forms of witness and service in each situation. In so doing they bring to the world a foretaste of the joy and glory of God’s Kingdom.”

2.4.2. The relationship of love at the heart of God is a characteristic of the community of God’s people. The 2013 convergence text, *The Church Towards a Common Vision*, draws on the biblical notion of *koinonia* (communion, participation, fellowship, sharing) as central to a common understanding of the life and unity of the Church. The Methodist Church has affirmed that “Christian believing and living are essentially societary in nature. This is true both for individuals and local church communities.” The way in which we discern and exercise our response to God’s calling is always corporate and communal. Christians are called to share in God’s mission in the power of the Holy Spirit and in company with other
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20 John Wesley quoted in The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 4.3.9
22 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 4.4.3
23 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 4.4.8
27 The Methodist Church, 1999, *Called to Love and Praise*, 4.4.2
Christians, remembering, always, that it is at God’s invitation and initiative.

2.4.3. Ministry embraces the witness and service to which the whole people of God are called, whether as individuals, as a local community, or as the universal Church. Whilst ‘ministry’ or ‘ministries’ can also refer to the particular institutional forms which this service takes, ministry is fundamentally about the participation of the whole Church in the life of Christ. 28 All members of the Church, therefore, share in ministry, but the discernment and exercise of ministry is never an individualistic enterprise. The ministry of the Church is exercised as Christians together respond to God’s call and discover and use the gifts which the Holy Spirit has given them. 29

2.4.4. Methodists understand that:

“Christ offered himself as a servant or minister and opened the way to God for us (his priestly ministry). All Christians are called to continue Christ’s ministry by serving in the Church and in the world.” 30

Jesus, crucified, risen and ascended has a continuing ministry in which those who are called to be Christ’s body have a part. As God sent Jesus, so disciples of Jesus are sent into the world 31, called to be “a prophetic people, bearing witness to God’s word; a priestly people, offering the sacrifice of a life lived in discipleship; and a royal people, serving as instruments for the establishment of God’s reign.” 32 It is a constant challenge to the Church to consider how the teaching, sanctifying and governing ministry of Christ is continued in and through the Church. In some of Paul’s writings this is spoken of in terms of how we are transformed to live out the ‘mind of Christ’ (1 Corinthians 2:14-16; Romans 12:1-2; Philippians 2:5). Ministry is both a sharing in Christ’s service to the world and a service to Christ in the world.

2.4.5. For the sake of this ministry of the whole body, all are equipped with gifts (Romans 12:3-8; 1 Corinthians 12:4-31), “for the benefit of the whole body in its disciplined life, and for its service to the whole world”. 33 All members of the Church participate in the ministry of Christ by proclaiming the kingdom of God in different ways at different times, but sharing in God’s mission is essentially a corporate endeavour and rooted in the interdependence of all Christians as the Body of Christ. The gifts bestowed by the Holy Spirit to each and every member of the Body of Christ are for the common good of the whole of God’s people and for the sake of the kingdom.

2.5. **Ministry is the ministry of the whole people of God**

Any reflection on ministry is therefore rooted in an understanding of the ministry of the whole people of God as the primary and normative ministry of the Church. 34 This has been a particular emphasis in Methodist theology, as noted in our response to the World Council of Churches document *Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry*:

“[W]e believe that future discussion of ministry must be given much greater prominence to the vocation of the whole people of God... We believe that an expression of the apostolic faith today must concentrate on the calling of the whole people of God, must
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29 The Methodist Church, *A Catechism for the use of the people called Methodists*, 45
30 The Methodist Church, *A Catechism for the use of the people called Methodists*, 44
31 John 20:21
33 The Methodist Church, *A Catechism for the use of the people called Methodists*, 44
34 Methodist Conference Agenda, 1990, *the Ministry of the People of God in the World*, pp.539
include a charge to the people to be what they are, and, if necessary, a charge to the 
ordained to enable this to be so.\footnote{The Methodist Church, 1985, \textit{British Methodist Response to the Lima Text}, 2.4.0}

The people of God are together called and set apart. Within each portion of the people 
of God some are further set apart within the body (usually through ordination) to enable 
the Church to fulfil its calling, but all participate in the ministry of the Church.

2.6. This section has explored how the Methodist Church, as part of the universal Church 
called into being by God through Jesus Christ, believes that it has a particular call to 
spread scriptural holiness, to share the good news of God’s love and salvation for all. For 
this purpose, the Holy Spirit guides the Church and gives to its members diverse and 
complementary gifts so that they may support and encourage one another and engage in 
witnes and service in the world. All of God’s people have gifts to be used for the sake of 
the whole Church for the sake of the world. As the Methodist Church continues to 
discern how it is to live out its calling in a changed and changing world, all members are 
called to re-discover, with the help of the community, their gifts and the tasks which they 
are to undertake. Circuits and Local Churches are the settings in which we consider ways 
of supporting each other in this endeavour and together responding to God’s call.

3. A changed and changing context

3.1. Human societies are constantly changing, sometimes slowly, sometimes rapidly and 
sometimes dramatically. Likewise, patterns of church life and the relationship of the 
Church to the culture in which it is situated evolve and alter. In changing situations and 
contexts, the Methodist Church continues to develop and, through the guidance of the 
Holy Spirit, seeks to discern new ways of responding.

3.2. At the beginning of the 21st century, the British Methodist Church’s context is one of 
variety, fluidity and uncertainty. Within the living memory of many Methodists, there 
have been some significant changes in British society. Whilst it is not possible to offer 
any adequate exploration of these here, for the purposes of illustration it is noted that 
these include:

3.2.1. Changes in working and social life from defined social roles and a higher instance of 
lifelong professions and jobs, to increased social and geographical mobility and flexibility 
in work across a career. Whilst many can remember highly routinised patterns of social 
life with Sunday observance, restricted shopping and licensing hours and routine meal 
times, much more is now available on demand with increased choice (or the illusion of 
choice) in many areas of life, and expectations of and greater flexibility of social provision 
around individual lifestyles and needs;

3.2.2. Changes in religious belief and practice as British society has shifted from positions 
defined in relation to a nominal Christianity to an increased relativism and lack of shared 
meta-narrative or belief in its possibility or desirability. Increased access to information 
(particularly electronically) and emphasis on individual choice and personal spirituality 
contribute to this. At the same time there is a lack of general theological literacy and 
many both within and beyond the Church perceive theology as a specialist, and even 
irrelevant, subject. Often religion and spirituality are now considered and approached 
within a consumerist framework;

3.2.3. Changes in the multi-cultural context. Whereas communities of other faiths have always 
been present they tended to be in defined locations, but British society now comprises 
dispersed communities of many faiths and those who profess no faith. Secularism and 
faith co-exist and Christianity is often regarded as one particular belief-system amongst
many. In other parts of the world, the Church is growing, and Christians are addressing many of the same questions in very different contexts. As the geographical centre of Christianity is changing, so is the worldwide Church. In Britain many Methodist churches offer hospitality to congregations from other churches, which provides opportunities for exploring new ways of being church and different ways of worshipping, as well as taking seriously the different experiences of ministry;

3.2.4. Changes in the Church’s place in British public life as its influence and moral authority has diminished. Where there is interest in spiritual experience there is frequently a disinclination for formal religious involvement on an ongoing basis. A loss of confidence in the Church is partly around questions of belief, partly due to an increased mistrust of public institutions, and partly about the behaviour of the institution and hurt and pain that have been experienced. In a culture in which the authority of traditional organisations is questioned, a church that is perceived to tell people what to think or how to behave is unwelcome.

3.3. Beginning as a movement within the Church of England to becoming a Church established by an Act of Parliament, the British Methodist Church itself has experienced many changes and has sought to respond to the activity of God in a changing culture and society:

3.3.1. The British Methodist Church has experienced a shift from being a mainstream institution with a stable membership and organisational structure to becoming a marginal organisation in a constantly changing society. The Church is facing a sharp decline in numbers and anxiety about reducing resources. With changed understandings of community and belonging and patterns of relating, different ways of worshipping, serving and sharing in fellowship have developed. There have been increased questions about a denominational identity that was previously taken for granted when there were stable communities of Methodists who had been formed in British Methodist culture and practice. The Methodist Church moved from a predominantly residential model of full time training for ordained ministers to developing a number of models of training as it has tried to respond to its developing context. It continues to give serious consideration to the ways in which those selected for ordained ministry are encouraged to deepen and broaden their sense of identity as Methodists in leadership roles within the Church. At the same time, many professional lay leaders, such as children and youth workers or community workers, are likely not to have been trained in a Methodist environment. British Methodists today come from a variety of backgrounds, some from other Christian Churches or from Methodist Churches in different cultures, resulting in varied experience and understanding of Methodism. The British Methodist Church is more culturally diverse than it has been in previous generations and it continues to affirm and seeks to strengthen its relationships of mutuality and interdependence.  

3.3.2. As the British Methodist Church has sought to respond to God’s call and share in God’s mission in an ever-changing world there have been many developments and changes in the way in which it has engaged in ministry. It has taken organisational risks as it has tried different ways of expressing and expanding its understanding of mission and ministry in varied contexts and in response to different pressures and challenges. It has encouraged new ways of corporately responding to God’s call, for example through nurturing and resourcing ministries that emerge for particular times such as the work of industrial chaplains or, more recently, pioneer ministers. Patterns of ministry have changed, with emphasis on collaborative and team ministry. It has been willing to try new ways of being, equipping people for particular roles for periods of time and seeking
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to discern how its resources are best employed.

3.4. The changed ecumenical context is also significant as the organic unity that, half a century ago, was hoped for has not yet been realised. Interest in receptive ecumenism has grown, with its understanding that through learning from other traditions and receiving gifts and understandings from them our identities authentically deepen and we are drawn into closer relationship. New Churches continue to come into being, adding to the diversity of the ecumenical context and the plethora of ecumenical partnerships. As part of the exploration of this broader landscape, time is given to working for common understanding and the mutual recognition of ministries. This and the greater mobility of Christians between different Churches highlights that words that are commonly used, such as ‘minister’, can mean slightly (or sometimes very) different things and thus require reflection on how we use and understand such terms. This is complex when a ministry in one church often cannot be equated with a ministry in another, although our tendency is to try and do so.

3.5. Whilst God’s fundamental call remains the same, our particular response in a changing and complex world can be challenging to work out together. At times, the complexity of the contexts and the different position in which we find ourselves might feel daunting and overwhelming but the same God who continues to call us, equips us and inspires us. Throughout their history, the Methodist people have sought to respond to God in new situations in creative ways. Diversity of experience and understanding brings a richness of gifts, insights and perspectives to the Methodist Church today. The changed and changing contexts bring opportunities to share in and express God’s mission in new ways and prompt us to assess that which is fundamental to our understanding of ministry and that which needs to be rearticulated or re-visioned. Discerning how we thus continue to respond to God’s call is a task for the whole Church and one which we all share.

4. The ministry of the whole people of God

4.1. The British Methodist Church has affirmed that the ministry of the whole community of Christians in the world shapes and determines all other ministries,37 rejoicing in the commitment of Christian people in the world and recognising that “some situations are brutalising and others fulfilling.”38 Such an understanding requires the Methodist people to pay close and prayerful attention to the changing contexts in which we live and work. Belonging to the Church involves supporting and encouraging each other, engaging in corporate discernment and making oneself accountable to other members of the community of faith. Although all members of the Body of Christ are given particular gifts and engage in specific tasks, ministry is always corporate and belongs to the whole Church. Ministry is therefore primarily about the witness of the whole people of God in the world. It is never merely an individual endeavour but always exercised as part of the Body of Christ.

4.2. The common priesthood of the faithful
In Methodism this has traditionally been expressed through the doctrine of the priesthood of all believers. Priesthood incorporates the activities of drawing near to God, worshipping, offering and undertaking a representative role between God and humanity. It implies a turning towards God in worship and a turning towards the world in service. The two movements can be embodied and expressed in many different activities, but both are always necessary. Jesus is the unique priest of the new covenant instituted by his sacrifice for the sake of all people. Through God’s grace and actions in Jesus, those
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who believe share in the “privilege and responsibility of direct access to God” and are called to pray for all, “to express by their lives the fact that they have been named a ‘royal priesthood’, offering themselves as a living sacrifice, holy and acceptable to God (Romans 12:1).” In the New Testament, understandings of priesthood relate to “the priesthood of the body of believers, rather than the priesthood of every believer, ... which stresses the inter-dependence of believers.” The Methodist Church therefore believes:

“that no priesthood exists which belongs exclusively to a particular order or class of persons but in the exercise of its corporate life and worship special qualifications for the discharge of special duties are required and thus the principle of representative selection is recognised.”

4.3. Sharing in ministry together

In all areas of church life, Methodists are therefore encouraged to reflect on how we identify, nurture and encourage people’s gifts and on how we support and hold each other accountable in our discernment in relation to ministry. Our affirmation of the doctrine of the priesthood of all believers means that our primary understanding of ministry is that it is corporate. Ministry is essentially not only about individual vocation but the response of the whole faith community. How we resource, support and encourage each other as we share in God’s mission in the world in a wide range of different contexts and roles is an ongoing challenge.

4.3.1. Discerning the particular tasks, and ways of being, that God is calling us to in the world is a corporate task and individual responses to God’s call are shaped within this context. There can sometimes be a tension when an individual feels called to a particular role or activity but this is either not affirmed by the Church or the Church discerns that an individual’s gifts could be better used in different ways for the sake of the ministry of the whole Body of Christ. We remember that gifts are bestowed on individuals for the common good of the whole people of God and for the sake of the kingdom (see 2.4.5 above). Discerning how gifts are to be employed and expressed is therefore not just a matter for an individual, although the flourishing and wholeness of all people is desired. Similarly, within the context of the Covenant Service, we are reminded that it “is not just a one-to-one transaction between individuals and God, but the act of the whole faith community:"

“Christ has many services to be done; some are easy, others are difficult; some bring honour, others bring reproach; some are suitable to our natural inclinations and material interests, others are contrary to both; in some we may please Christ and please ourselves; in others we cannot please Christ except by denying ourselves. Yet the power to do all these things is given to us in Christ, who strengthens us.”

4.3.2. All have a part to play. We participate in responding to God’s call in that we have a share in ministry by virtue of belonging to the priesthood of all believers and only within that context do we explore our individual role. Therefore we always share in ministry, even when it does not feel as if we have a specific role to play, because we participate in the whole. It is this understanding that is encapsulated in the words of the Methodist Covenant Service: “let me be employed for you or laid aside for you.”

---
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whether a child or someone living with dementia, a supernumerary or someone new to
the church or community, someone full of energy and new ideas or someone unsure of
whether they have anything to offer, all contribute to and participate in the ministry of the
whole people of God. This is remembered and expressed in the fellowship of the Local
Church and in the ways in which people’s gifts are recognised, encouraged and employed
in its life and witness.

4.3.3. The Circuit is the primary church unit in British Methodism,46 “in which Local Churches
express and experience their interconnexion in the Body of Christ, for purposes of mission,
mutual encouragement and help.”47 For many Methodists, however, the Local Church is
the primary place for the celebration, exploration and encouragement of the variety of
ways in which Christians engage in witness and service in response to God’s call. For some
this is through their paid employment or voluntary work, for others it is through their
relationships and roles in their families or the communities of which they are a part. In
1988 the Methodist Conference “believed it would be valuable if churches could recognise
in informal ways the many and various ministries which the Church needs and needs to be
released.”48 The “ministries which the Church needs” are not primarily about people
undertaking tasks in an ecclesial context but the ways in which the people of God are
called to share in God’s mission in the world. Local Churches can affirm, celebrate and
learn more about the ways in which their members are engaging in worship, witness and
service and together discover new opportunities and ways of doing so. This poses both a
challenge and an opportunity to re-engage in reflection on ministry and vocation in ways
that focus on the understanding of the ministry of the whole people of God in the world.

4.3.4. The main ways in which members of the Church support each other in responding to
God’s call are through worship, prayer and fellowship. There are many opportunities in
the many different contexts of Methodist Local Churches for people to share in
conversation, prayer and reflection on the ways in which they are participating in the
kingdom of God in the world and engaging in witness and service. The Methodist people
are encouraged to seize and create such opportunities so that we may help each other
recognise and celebrate our gifts, discern and fulfil our calling, and resource and sustain
our witness in sometimes difficult or challenging or mundane contexts.

4.3.5. The ministry of all Christians within the corporate life of the Church is also important. By
their various gifts the members of the Church contribute to its life and witness, but for its
work and well-being it also needs people to be available in different ways. Some are set
apart to enable the whole Church to fulfil its calling, some undertake particular roles, and
some are appointed to specific tasks at certain times. Whilst particular ministries in the
life of the Church require specific gifts, sometimes members of the Church are required to
undertake tasks to which they may not feel particularly called or gifted on behalf of the
whole community.

4.3.6. The class meeting is a part of the Methodist tradition that merits rediscovery and new
engagement. In parts of the early Methodist movement the class meeting was vital to
enable growth in holiness as it was a place where Christians were held accountable for,
and supported in, their faith and ministry. Now this happens in different ways and in
various forms of meetings. Aspects of the class meeting, including the role of class leader,
have now dispersed into other roles and areas of church life but it warrants new
exploration in our changed and changing context. Class meetings have been places of
affirmation, challenge, accountability, encouragement and support as people are helped
to know themselves before God and as part of the Christian community. Emphasising the
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rootedness of Christian living in daily life, in 1999 the Methodist Church was clear that “there is little doubt that the Church is poorer [for their decline].”\(^49\) Although there are other ways in which Methodists support each other and hold each other accountable for their faith and ministry, in a changed and changing context rediscovery of the Class Meeting offers rich potential for the Methodist people. Each Local Church is invited to explore and discern new ways of enabling support and a mutual holding to account.

5. **Order**

5.1. The Methodist Church comprises a rich diversity of people called by God and seeking to respond to God’s call. As in any group of people, there are shared principles about how we conduct our life together, but our way of being and working together also expresses and reveals our identity as the Body of Christ. Church order refers to how we live together as a portion of God’s people. It is recognised that:

> “Though the churches are agreed in their general understanding of the calling of the people of God, they differ in their understanding of how the life of the Church is to be ordered. In particular, there are differences concerning the place and forms of the ordained ministry. As they engage in the effort to over-come these differences, the churches need to work from the perspective of the calling of the whole people of God. A common answer needs to be found to the following question: How, according to the will of God and under the guidance of the Holy Spirit, is the life of the Church to be understood and ordered, so that the Gospel may be spread and the community built up in love.”\(^50\)

5.2. The Methodist Church began as a movement within the Church of England. It was made up of a ‘connexion’ of ‘societies’ which supplemented involvement in the parish church, providing a disciplined framework for worship and spiritual development. Travelling preachers to these societies were also said to be ‘in connexion’ with John Wesley.\(^51\) Over the years, Methodism grew into an independent organisation with its own identity and structures, developing from a connexion of societies into various churches with some in 1932 becoming the Methodist Church in Britain. This change from society to church has affected the way in which the Methodist people organise themselves and how they relate to each other, as well as shaping its identity, self-understanding, call and ministry.

5.3. The Methodist Church is also a human organisation. It is an institution established by an Act of Parliament and is in some ways accountable to the State. It has the same obligations and responsibilities as any other organisation (for example concerning safeguarding, health and safety and employment among others), to be carried out wisely, efficiently and with integrity. The Church is the Body of Christ incarnate in a particular culture, part of that culture and yet called to live in response to God. Called into being by God the Church exists to witness to the love and grace of God and share in God’s mission.\(^52\) As an organisation, it is ordered to fulfil this calling above all, and its structures, processes and ways of working help to reveal the kingdom of God.

5.4. The way in which the Methodist Church is ordered reflects both its understanding of itself as part of the universal Church and its particular history. The Methodist Church understands that it should be structured for mission (see 2.1.1), able to respond pragmatically as needs emerge and new opportunities arise,\(^53\) and yet, as part of the universal Church of God, it also shares common understandings about the nature, order and ministry of the Church. The
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tension between enabling pragmatic effectiveness for mission in a specific context at a particular time in history and upholding and expressing those things which are perceived to be of the essence of the very existence of the life of the Church is present in all Churches, but a particular feature of Methodist experience and history. The way in which we are ordered reflects our call, and shapes and enables our ministry.

5.4.1. From its beginnings, Methodism was structured to encourage growth in holiness. Methodists, grouped in societies and held together in connexion, maintained a common discipline in prayer, worship, fellowship, the study of Scripture and social action. Class meetings came into being to encourage people to grow in their life with God, expressing a corporate concern for justice and integrity in daily life. It is noted that the Methodist Church has not adequately responded to its own question:

“Methodist origins invite the question whether the Church’s structures help its members to grow in holiness. If the class meeting has largely gone, what has taken its place?”

Methodism has always understood that growth in holiness can happen if there is a disciplined approach to our spiritual life and our life together.

5.4.2. The connexional principle which “witnesses to a mutuality and interdependence which derive from the participation of all Christians through Christ in the very life of God” has always been intrinsic to Methodism. Methodists understand themselves as all related to each other at every level of the Church. No Local Church (or other Methodist group) is an autonomous unit complete in itself but is linked to others in the Connexion and dependent on the whole. Just as Wesley’s preachers were itinerant, available to be sent where needed, so Methodism’s ordained ministers are at the disposal of the whole Connexion. Connexionalism helps point up priorities of mission and service in all parts of the Methodist Church, whilst giving Circuits and Districts the greatest possible degrees of autonomy to engage in God’s mission in their local context in the best possible way. Connexionalism shapes the Methodist understanding of authority and governance. At each level, authority is given to bodies that represent and serve local Christian communities, with the Church’s presbyters having a “principal and directing part” in the structures of decision-making, but it is the whole people of God who make decisions through the Church’s relevant bodies. Supreme authority resides in the Conference. A Church that is ordered according to the connexional principle pays attention to the mission priorities not just in each area of its life but across a range of contexts, and deploys its resources where they are most needed.

5.4.3. This ordering emerged from the ‘missionary’ situation of the 18th century, a pragmatic response to enable the effective witness of the Church in the world. Thus there has been a continuing emphasis on deploying resources according to the missionary needs of the Church and Methodism has been pragmatic in its approach to questions of church structure. The Methodist Church has, at different times, adapted its structures to respond to new situations and opportunities, and regards this flexibility in itself as an important principle alongside underlying principles of interdependence and relatedness and small-group fellowship and discipline.

5.5. Just as the ministry of the whole people of God is representative of the continuing ministry of Jesus Christ, so the pattern of representation is replicated within the life of the Church.
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The ordained have a distinct representative role, which is explored further in section 7.4, but those who are appointed to offices, roles and specific tasks also represent the Body of Christ in particular ways. They become accountable to the Church, through the appointing body, in a new way. Local Churches, Circuits and Districts are encouraged to reflect on how they resource, support and hold to account those whom they appoint to offices and roles in the life of the Church, identifying ways in which they might both offer to and receive from the shared wisdom of the Methodist Church as it seeks to participate in God’s mission.

6. Oversight

6.1. Oversight is the function of ensuring that the Church is true to its calling. It involves “the process of reflecting on experience in order to discern the presence and activity of God in the world.”\(^{59}\) It has always been necessary to the life of the Church, and a key feature of that oversight is ensuring the continuity of the Church in apostolic faith and mission. In the Methodist Church that continuity is located in the Conference.

6.2. Oversight is a rich concept. The Greek word *episkope*, from which it is translated, is used in the Bible to describe God visiting people and ‘keeping an eye’ on what is happening. For many years, the Methodist Church in Britain has thought of oversight as being expressed through ‘governance, management and leadership.’\(^{60}\) Although it is acknowledged that oversight is not defined solely in these terms, in many contexts they have dominated thinking, leading, in some cases, to the development of a rather narrow and functional understanding of oversight. The limitations of this framework are increasingly recognised, and in recent Anglican-Methodist conversations the need for a broader understanding was re-emphasised:

> “Oversight is more than governance, leadership and management. It includes the preservation of the integrity of the community in continuity with the apostolic faith and mission through the work of corporate bodies and individuals in teaching, preaching, encouraging, making judgements, evangelising and offering pastoral care.”\(^{61}\)

In the 2013 World Council of Churches’ convergence document, *The Church Towards a Common Vision*, the ministry of oversight is described as a “ministry of coordination” so that the diversity of gifts given by the Holy Spirit “may enrich the whole Church, its unity and mission.”\(^{62}\)

6.3. The Methodist Church exercises a corporate and connexional form of oversight. As connexionalism expresses the consciousness that Christians are bound together at all levels of the Church, oversight is thus exercised corporately through the Conference and by designated individuals on behalf of the Conference. All who exercise oversight in the Methodist Church derive their authority from the Conference.

6.4. Churches affirm that the ministry of oversight, as all ministry in the Church, needs to be exercised in personal, collegial and communal ways:\(^{63}\)

> “It should be personal because the presence of Christ among his people can most effectively be pointed to by the persons ordained to proclaim the Gospel and to call the community to serve the Lord in unity of life and witness. It should also be collegial, for there is need for a college of ordained ministers sharing in the common task of
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representing the concerns of the community. Finally, the intimate relationship between the ordained ministry and the community should find expression in a communal dimension where the exercise of the ordained ministry is rooted in the life of the community and requires the community’s effective participation in the discovery of God’s will and the guidance of the Spirit.”

6.5. In order to hold the Methodist Church to its calling in its daily decision-making at every level of the Church’s life, individuals are appointed to a variety of offices in order to exercise particular kinds of oversight, for example in Circuits ministers and local preachers build up the Church through preaching and teaching, ministers and circuit stewards provide leadership, and Superintendents have oversight of all the ministers and probationers stationed in the Circuit. In each District the Chair exercises oversight of the character and fidelity of the presbyters and presbyteral probationers, and is responsible to the Conference for the observance of Methodist order and discipline. Oversight is also exercised corporately, for example in District Synods or Circuit Meetings or Church Councils. In Methodist understanding, oversight is shared, even when exercised by individuals as they would usually collaborate with, be accountable to and be appointed by others, deriving their authority from the Conference.

6.6. Those who are ordained and in Full Connexion share a collegial responsibility for embodying, exercising and sharing with others the oversight of the Conference. Presbyters exercise a particular ministry of oversight within the life of the Church, having “a principal and directing part” in the shared duties of being “stewards in the household of God and shepherds of [God’s] flock.” They usually exercise oversight in Christian communities “offering leadership and vision, and ensuring that decisions are made according to Methodist practice.” This ministry is shared and comes to mature fruition when it is exercised in collaboration with deacons and lay people.

7. Releasing and supporting ministries

7.1. As members of the Church, we all share in its ministry (section 4 above). The Methodist Church recognises that: “Whether or not their calling is recognized by some form of commissioning, all Christians share in the service to which the Church is called.” Methodists are invited to support, encourage and pray for each other as we together seek to respond to God’s call and share in God’s mission.

7.2. The ministry of Christians within the Church
As part of this some people are appointed to specific tasks for a period of time; sometimes these are particular roles or offices within the Church and sometimes they are roles undertaken on behalf of the Church in the wider community. Such ministries are important for the wellbeing of the Body of Christ and to enable the Church to witness to God’s love, proclaim the Gospel and engage in Christ’s ministry of service in the world. In order to help the Church fulfil its calling, some are set apart through ordination to ensure that it fulfils this purpose. (The place and role of the ordained in the life of the Church is considered in section 7.4 below.)
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7.2.1. The Methodist Church appoints people to specific roles and offices in different ways and there is different language used to describe the ways in which they are supported and affirmed in their ministries. Local Churches, Circuits and Districts appoint people to offices and roles and authorise others to perform particular tasks on their behalf. Lay workers, Pastoral Visitors, Workers with Children and Young People, Worship Leaders and Class Leaders are also ‘commissioned’ within the context of an act of worship, whilst the ministry of others is sometimes publicly recognised in other ways. The question of which ministries are formally acknowledged in an act of public worship, and why, is a matter for ongoing reflection in each local context. As the Methodist Church is a connexional Church, it is appropriate that those exercising particular oversight responsibilities are authorised and recognised by and for the whole Connexion and not just within a particular community. Within Methodist ecclesiology Local Churches do not, therefore, appoint their own preachers, deacons or presbyters (see further 7.3.1 and sections 7.4 and 7.5).

7.2.2. Underlying the different terms and ways in which Local Churches, Circuits and Districts authorise people to undertake particular ministries, several key features can be identified: there is a call by God to the particular role that is recognised and affirmed by the Church; the person is appropriately selected and appointed to the role; there is a public invocation of the Holy Spirit for the ministry; they are resourced and supported; and they are held accountable in fulfilling the role. Although, in practice, not all of these elements are always present, it is important for Local Churches, Circuits and Districts to give attention to each aspect as, from time to time, they consider how they share in God’s mission as part of the Methodist Church and seek to respond to changing contexts and the new patterns of ministry that emerge.

7.2.3. All Methodists contribute to the life and ministry of the Methodist Church. Whilst some roles involve public recognition and affirmation, and others do not, all contributions are valuable, although people may not always feel valued. Those who are appointed to offices and roles that the Church has identified as necessary in the life of the Church undertake ministries that are recognised in particular ways, but Local Churches, Circuits and Districts can give attention to considering other ways in which people who contribute to the Church’s ministry are affirmed, supported, resourced and celebrated.

7.3. **Ministries recognised across the Connexion**

The Methodist Church holds to the connexional principle and its structures therefore express the interdependence of all Local Churches. Local Churches, Circuits, and Districts have the necessary degrees of authority to enable them to share in God’s mission in the most appropriate way in a particular community. This authority is “vested at each level in bodies which both represent and serve the local Christian communities.”\(^{72}\) From time to time, it may need to be limited in the light of the needs of the whole Church.\(^{73}\) It pertains to the life of a connexional Church that for some ministries there needs to be some common practice and regularity, although the particular ways in which these ministries are undertaken and expressed may vary in different contexts (for example, Worship Leaders, Pastoral Visitors, Church and Circuit Stewards). Thus, there are some offices that are regarded as essential for the life of the Church and others that are recognised throughout the Methodist Church even if they are only taken up in some Local Churches, Circuits and Districts.

7.3.1. Local Preachers have an important place within Methodist tradition. They are admitted as Local Preachers through a public religious service arranged by the relevant Circuit, and during the service they receive a letter and Bible signed by the President of the Conference. These signify their connexional significance. Local preaching is a life-long
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ministry and Local Preachers are recognised as such in all contexts in the British Methodist Church.

7.3.2. The ministries that the Methodist Church believes it needs to fulfil its calling, or which are recognised throughout the Methodist Church, are usually identified within *The Constitutional Practice and Discipline of the Methodist Church*. There is common understanding about their nature and purpose (even if there is some variety in the way in which such offices are undertaken in different contexts). As times change some roles and offices exist only for a relatively short period, some develop and alter, and some persist. God raises people up for particular things at particular times. Local Churches, Circuits, Districts and the whole Connexion from time to time consider what particular ministries they need as they seek to respond to God’s call and share in God’s mission. The Methodist Church as a whole also considers in which areas of its life there needs to be some commonality of practice for the sake of its witness.

7.3.3. With the exception of ordained ministers and Local Preachers (see 7.2.1 above), those who undertake ministries in or on behalf of the Methodist Church do so in particular contexts and for a period of time. (Most appointments within the Methodist Church are made on an annual basis.) However the public recognition of their ministry happens, it is within a local, circuit or district context and is not automatically transferable from one place to another within the Methodist Church. Whilst the Methodist Church might offer training, resources and learning opportunities to help ensure that people are appropriately equipped and resourced for particular roles (and sometimes require this as part of the discernment process), successful completion of training and appointment to a role is always within a particular context and does not automatically ‘qualify’ someone for a similar role elsewhere. In other contexts people take with them their gifts, training and experience but may be called or needed to share in the ministry of the Church in other ways. This is an important part of our understanding of the ministry of the whole people of God. Each part of the Church discerns how to best use the gifts given by the Holy Spirit in the life and witness of the Church as it shares in God’s mission.

7.4. **Ordained ministry in the Methodist Church**

7.4.1. Starting from, and rooted in, its conviction that the whole people of God share in Christ’s continuing ministry to the world,74 the Methodist Church shares the view of the wider Church that:

“In order to fulfil its mission, the Church needs persons who are publicly and continually responsible for pointing to its fundamental dependence on Jesus Christ, and thereby provide, within a multiplicity of gifts, a focus of its unity. The ministry of such persons, who since very early times have been ordained, is constitutive for the life and witness of the Church ... Their presence reminds the community of the divine initiative, and of the dependence of the Church on Jesus Christ, who is the source of its mission and the foundation of its unity. They serve to build up the community in Christ and to strengthen its witness. In them the Church seeks an example of holiness and loving concern.”75

The Methodist Church considers its ordained ministries to be ministries of the universal Church. If its understanding of ministry begins with the calling of the whole people of God then ordained ministry “exists as representative of the total ministry of the Church.”76

---

74 The Methodist Church, 1974, *Ordination*, 6, 7
76 The Methodist Church, 1985, *British Methodist Response to the Lima Text*, 4.3
From within the whole people of God, therefore, some are set apart in ordination to represent Christ to his people and represent the people of God before the world.

7.4.2. Patterns of ordained ministry in the Methodist Church

7.4.2.1. Its particular history has played a significant part in shaping the pattern of ordained ministry within the Methodist Church. The early Methodist preachers, described by John Wesley as ‘extraordinary messengers’, emerged within the pattern of ministry in the Church of England which took for granted the three-fold order of bishops, priests and deacons. Preachers (some of whom were ‘travelling’ and some local, depending on their circumstances) were ‘in connexion’ with Wesley and this relationship gave them their status in the Methodist movement. Their calling was principally to preach and stir local clergy to greater effectiveness. The move from extraordinary messengers and travelling preachers, to (in some places) pastoral ministry, to the two orders of ministry (the presbyterate and the diaconate) in the Methodist Church in Britain today, has been complex and diverse, leading to continuing creative tensions in articulating the role of the ordained in a changed and changing Church. Nevertheless, the Methodist Church affirms the need for an ordained ministry, seeing it as a gift of God and essential to the being of the Church.77 Much of British Methodism’s understanding of ordained ministry is consonant with that of other Churches.

7.4.2.2. Although almost all Christian communities have a formal structure of ministry, some believe that the threefold ministry of bishop, presbyter and deacon “is a sign of continuing faithfulness to the Gospel and is vital to the apostolic continuity of the Church as a whole” whilst others “do not view faithfulness to the Gospel as closely bound to succession in ministry.”78 The Methodist Church agrees that the episcopal, presbyteral and diaconal functions need to be exercised by the Church but does not hold that the threefold order of bishop, presbyter and deacon is essential to the ministry of the Church. Whilst the threefold ministry is a sign of the orderly transmission of apostolic faith and mission, and thus a sign (though not a guarantee) of apostolic continuity in the Church, we believe that faithfulness to the Gospel may be preserved in other ways (see 1.7 above). The Methodist Conference has expressed a willingness to receive the historic episcopate in order to advance the cause of visible unity, providing that it is acknowledged that the Methodist Church has been and is part of the one, holy, catholic, and apostolic Church, and it is accepted that different interpretations of the precise significance of the sign exist.79 The World Council of Churches convergence text, *Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry*, only affirmed that the threefold ministry “may serve today as an expression of the unity we seek and also as a means for achieving it.”80

7.4.2.3. The Methodist Church has two orders of ministry: presbyters and deacons. Their ministry is interdependent with all other forms of ministry within the whole people of God. Each requires the other81 and ordained ministers can only fulfil their calling in and for the Body of Christ. Many aspects of their particular ministries (see 7.4.4 and 7.4.5 below) are normally exercised in a variety of ways by a large number of Christians, both in the world
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77 The Methodist Church, 1985, *British Methodist Response to the Lima Text*, 2.1.2 and 2.4.0; 1960 *Ordination in the Methodist Church*, p.103; 1974, *Ordination*, 5
78 World Council of Churches, 2013, *The Church Towards a Common Vision*, §47
81 The Methodist Church, 2002, *What is a Presbyter?* 2
and in the church, and they can therefore be understood only within the context of focusing, expressing and enabling the ministry of the whole people of God.\footnote{The Methodist Church, \textit{The Ministry of the People of God}, 1988, 059, 065; \textit{The Methodist Diaconal Order}, 1993, 10.13}

“In their office the calling of the whole Church is focused and represented, and it is their responsibility as representative persons to lead the people to share with them in that calling. In this sense they are the sign of the presence and ministry of Christ in the Church, and through the Church to the world.”\footnote{The Methodist Church, 1974, \textit{Ordination}, 14.}

This understanding that ministers are the sign of the presence and ministry of Christ is expressed, for example, when presbyters and deacons are welcomed to the appointments to which they are stationed by the Conference. Within the context of worship they are asked to affirm that they will “hold before” the people aspects of God’s call to the whole Body of Christ including “the story of God’s love and mercy and, above all, the Gospel of our Saviour Jesus Christ” and “God’s commitment to human community”. Presbyters also affirm that they will hold before the people “God’s call to holy living” and deacons “God’s call to serve the needs of others.”\footnote{Trustees for Methodist Church Purposes, \textit{The Methodist Worship Book}, pp.358-361}

7.4.2.4. In the British Methodist Church ordination is linked inseparably with ‘reception into Full Connexion’.\footnote{The Methodist Church, 1960, \textit{Ordination in the Methodist Church}} The Methodist Conference receives into Full Connexion with itself those who are called to exercise their ministry through the Methodist Church in particular.\footnote{The Methodist Church, 2002, \textit{Releasing Ministers for Ministry}, 4.2} When they are received into Full Connexion, ministers enter a covenant relationship with the Conference. At the heart of this mutual relationship, made possible through God’s grace, both the ministers and the Conference have appropriate privileges and responsibilities:

“Under the will of God the ministers are accountable to the Conference for the exercise of their ministry and for the execution of the Conference’s vision and will. At the same time they are accounted for by the Conference in that the Conference is committed to deploying them all appropriately and to providing them with the resources and support necessary for them to fulfil their ministry.”\footnote{The Methodist Church, 2002, \textit{Releasing Ministers for Ministry}, 4.2}

7.4.3. The nature of ordination in the Methodist Church

7.4.3.1. Methodists formally set apart certain individuals as presbyters or deacons by the liturgical means of ordination to the appropriate order of ministry, in conjunction with the juridical act of reception into ‘Full Connexion’ with the Conference. The Methodist Church sets apart as presbyters and deacons those individuals in whom it discerns evidence of God’s call to such ministry and a measure of the necessary gifts and graces. Insofar as the agency of the Church is concerned, ordination can be looked upon as a special form of commissioning, whereby the Church selects and appoints certain individuals to the office of presbyter or deacon and authorises them to undertake the work associated with that office. As in all aspects of ministry, however, the agency of the Church in ordination is dependent upon the primary agency of the Holy Spirit, who alone calls men and women into ministry and service, bestowing upon them appropriate gifts and graces.

7.4.3.2. The Methodist understanding of the nature of ordination is contained in the liturgical rites found in \textit{The Methodist Worship Book} (1999), authorised by the Conference for use at Methodist ordination services. These liturgical rites have their origin in John Wesley’s \textit{Sunday Service of the Methodists} (1784/6), adapted from the 1662 Book of Common Prayer and intended for use by Methodists in North America and Britain. However, their
The present shape and form owe a great deal to the twentieth-century liturgical movement, which has strongly influenced ordination rites among all the Churches that practice ordination. This is significant because it has led to a deepening convergence among Christians in understanding the nature of ordination.

7.4.3.3. The essential features of a Methodist ordination service are an ordination prayer and the imposition of hands by an ordained presbyter (normally the President or a Past President of the Conference) on behalf of the Conference. The practice of laying hands on the head of each person to be ordained is an ancient feature originating in the Church of the New Testament, where it was used to denote and direct the focus of an accompanying prayer. In the case of a Methodist ordination service, the prayer accompanying the imposition of hands is: “Father, send the Holy Spirit upon N for the office and work of a Presbyter [or Deacon] in your Church.” The intention in a Methodist ordination service is to ordain into the presbyterate or diaconate of the one holy catholic and apostolic Church.

7.4.3.4. The precise form of the ordination prayer is significant because it affirms that the primary agent involved in setting apart women and men as presbyters or deacons is the Holy Spirit. Whatever effect ordination has upon the recipient (beyond the constitutional change that takes place in assuming an office), occurs through the person and work of the Holy Spirit in the Church. In faith and confidence, Christians believe that the invocation of the Holy Spirit to bestow the gifts and graces needed to exercise the office and work of a presbyter or deacon is effective because God listens and responds to the prayer of the Church. An ordination service represents the culmination of a long process in which the Church first discerns and tests the call of candidates, shapes and forms their ministerial development, and finally presents them before God, ready to receive the gift and grace of the Holy Spirit in order to fulfil their vocation to ministry. At all stages, the Church seeks to discern and respond to the will of the Holy Spirit and therefore can, with confidence, call on the Spirit at the moment of ordination.

7.4.3.5. As heirs of the Reformation heritage, Methodists reserve the term ‘sacrament’ exclusively to describe baptism and the Lord’s Supper, believing them to have been instituted by Christ himself. In these sacraments material things (water, bread and wine) and human actions (pouring water, sharing bread and wine) become means of grace, effective signs of the faithfulness of God and the work of the Holy Spirit. Methodists also believe that the saving work of God is more generally expressed through the created order and human life, so that all the means of grace (whether instituted by Christ or developed in response to fresh contexts) have a sacramental quality. Methodists affirm that ordination is an effective sign by which the grace of God is given and received in faith for the office and work of a presbyter or deacon in the Church. In other words, because of the faithfulness of God in response to the prayer of the Church, ordination contains and confers the grace it signifies. It is therefore appropriate to say that ordination, by its very nature, is sacramental.

7.4.3.6. Methodists do not attempt to describe the real interior effects of ordination in terms other than reception of the Holy Spirit for the office and work of the ministry to which a person has been set apart. Nevertheless, certain affirmations follow from this. By ordination, a person is irrevocably called and set apart as a presbyter or deacon because God does not rescind God’s call upon the life of an individual. Such a special call shapes the whole of a person’s life, thereby establishing a new and permanent relationship with Christ and his Church, and a permanent orientation within the baptismal state to serve God and the people of God as a presbyter or deacon. For this reason, Methodist polity asserts that a

---

88 Trustees for Methodist Church Purposes, *The Methodist Worship Book*, p.306 (presbyters) and p.321 (deacons)
person may not be ordained more than once to the same order of ministry.

7.4.3.7. That the ordination prayer holds together the ‘office’ and ‘work’ of a presbyter or deacon overcomes the false separation of ‘being’ a minister and ‘doing’ the work of a minister. Ordination is not to be thought of as a temporary commission in order to fulfil certain ministerial functions for a period of time. Ministers do not cease to be such when they no longer undertake the normal work of a presbyter or deacon as a result of incapacity, becoming supernumerary or else taking up some form of secular employment. Equally, ‘being’ a minister necessarily involves a lifelong commitment to undertake the ‘work’ of a presbyter or deacon insofar as they remain able.

7.4.4. **Methodist Presbyters**

7.4.4.1. Methodist Presbyters are ministers of the Word and Sacraments in the Church of God. Through ordination and reception into Full Connexion they are authorised by the Conference:

> “to be public people who represent God-in-Christ and the community of the Church (particularly the Methodist Church and its Conference) in the world, and the world and the community of the Church in Christ before God, as they seek to serve the needs of the Kingdom in the power of the Spirit.”

They share a collegial responsibility for embodying, exercising and sharing with others the Conference’s oversight of the Church both as it gathers in Christian community and as it disperses in the world for worship and mission. They have authority to preach the Word and administer the Sacraments.

7.4.4.2. Although Methodist presbyters are not understood to be an exclusive order with a priestly character of their own, the Methodist Church shares with others the idea of representative ministry which relates ordination both to the priesthood of Christ, and to the priesthood of baptized believers. It further holds that presbyters represent the holy, catholic and the apostolic nature of the Church. In its response to *Baptism, Eucharist and Ministry*, the Methodist Church acknowledges the need of the Church for people who are “called and set apart for leadership in pastoral care, preaching, and intercessory prayer, and for presidency at the sacraments.”

7.4.4.3. The Methodist Church shares the Protestant understanding that presbyters have the authority and responsibility to preach the word, preside at the sacraments, and administer our discipline. The ministry of Methodist presbyters has been summarised and characterised under three headings: it is a ministry of word (including preaching, evangelism, apologetic, theological and prophetic interpretation, teaching and the articulation of faith and human experience); sacrament (including presiding at acts of celebration and devotion, especially baptism (and, in the wider sense of sacramental acts, confirmation) and eucharist; and pastoral responsibility (including oversight, direction, discipline, order and pastoral care). Virtually none of the individual activities is exclusive to presbyters. Nevertheless, the combination of the ministries of word, sacrament and pastoral responsibility is exclusive to and definitive of the presbyter: “Moreover the very

---
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fact these ministries are combined imparts a distinctive nature to each of them.\textsuperscript{95}

7.4.4. Within the ordination service, those whom God has called into the Order of Presbyters are reminded that:

“In his name you are
 to preach by word and deed the Gospel of God’s grace;
 to declare God’s forgiveness of sins to all who are penitent;
 to baptize, to confirm
 and to preside at the celebration of the sacrament of Christ’s body and blood;
 to lead God’s people in worship, prayer and service;
 to minister Christ’s love and compassion;
 to serve others, in whom you serve the Lord himself.”\textsuperscript{96}

7.4.5. Methodist Deacons

7.4.5.1. Methodist Deacons are ordained to the diaconate in the Church of God and become members of the Methodist Diaconal Order. They are:

“... a ‘focus’ for the servant ministry of Christ; through their ministry of caring, the incarnate servant Christ is revealed. They are a ‘focus’ for the servant ministry of the Church, making visible God’s calling to the Church to be a servant in the world. Their servant ministry challenges the Church to respond to this calling. Part of their role is to interpret to the Church the needs and aspirations of the world. Deacons and deaconesses offer Methodism and the wider Church the discipline, spirituality and commitment to community that is part of working out their personal vocation in the context of being a religious order.”\textsuperscript{97}

7.4.5.2. Within the ordination service, those whom God has called into the Order of Deacons are reminded that:

“In his name you are
 to assist God’s people in worship and prayer;
 to hold before them the needs and concerns of the world;
 to minister Christ’s love and compassion;
 to visit and support the sick and the suffering;
 to seek out the lost and the lonely;
 and to help those you serve to offer their lives to God.”\textsuperscript{98}

7.4.6. Although differences in understandings about ordained ministry continue and present significant challenges on the path to unity, the Methodist Church “looks for the day when, in communion with the whole Church, such ministries are recognized and exercised in common.”\textsuperscript{99}

8. Concluding comment

This Statement sets out the Methodist Church’s understanding of ministry. It provides a framework for the Methodist people to participate in discerning our continuing response to God and engage theologically with opportunities and challenges that arise, and it

\textsuperscript{95} The Methodist Church, 2002, \textit{What is a Presbyter?} 8
\textsuperscript{96} Trustees for Methodist Church Purposes, \textit{The Methodist Worship Book}, p.302
\textsuperscript{97} The Methodist Church, 1993, \textit{The Methodist Diaconal Order}, 10.12
\textsuperscript{98} Trustees for Methodist Church Purposes, \textit{The Methodist Worship Book}, p.317
\textsuperscript{99} Trustees for Methodist Church Purposes, \textit{Methodist Worship Book}, p.298
encourages reflection on how we encourage each other to share in God’s mission in the varied contexts in which we live and work.

Eternal God,
the light of the minds that know you,
the joy of the hearts that love you,
and the strength of the wills that serve you:
grant us so to know you that we may truly love you,
so to love you that we may truly serve you,
whose service is perfect freedom;
through Jesus Christ our Lord. Amen.

Augustine of Hippo (430)

***RESOLUTIONS

32/2. The Conference received Part B of the Report.

32/3. The Conference, pursuant to Standing Order 129 (2) and (3):

(i) commended the draft Conference Statement ‘Ministry in the Methodist Church to the Connexion for study, discussion and response;
(ii) directed the Secretary of the Conference to ensure that the draft Statement is made widely available for study and discussion.
(iii) invited Districts, Circuits and Local Churches to send comments on the draft Statement to the Secretary of the Faith and Order Committee to arrive not later than 1 February 2020.

Part C: Ministry in the Methodist Church

1. Introduction

1.1. This report forms a further part of the response to the 2014 Conference direction that the Faith and Order Committee, in consultation with the Ministries Committee, undertake work on the “theology and nature of lay and ordained ministry in the Methodist Church.” It addresses aspects of the work which do not appropriately belong in a Conference Statement but, nonetheless, are important for the Conference to consider. It therefore begins to build on the theology articulated in the draft Statement to help progress the Methodist Church’s engagement with some of the particular questions and challenges with regards to ministry that it is facing in a changed and changing context. This report, therefore, has three purposes:

• It highlights areas which may require further consideration but are not, or not solely, questions of faith and order and are therefore for other bodies in the life of the Church to explore. Whilst the Faith and Order Committee can resource and contribute to any further explorations, it is not within its remit to decide matters of policy;

• It identifies areas where further theological work will be reported to a later Conference as it flows from, rather than forming part of, the draft Conference Statement. Some of these areas the Conference has already asked the Faith and Order Committee to consider, others have emerged from this piece of work;

Resolution 35/2, 2014
• It offers some reflections that emerge from the work which it may be helpful for the Conference to receive but do not appropriately form part of the draft Conference Statement.

1.2. During the consultation process related to this work, three particular tensions have been identified that shape the Methodist Church in Britain’s theology and practice of ministry. Acknowledging these tensions helps to set in context some of the questions that the Methodist Church is currently considering and on which there are different perspectives, particularly those identified in section 2 below. The tensions are:

1.2.1. The tension that is an intrinsic part of connexionalism between the level of authority that is appropriately given to Local Churches, Circuits and Districts in order that they may respond to local calls of mission and service, and the needs of the whole Church to enable the Methodist Church to be effective in its witness and mission. There is need for a vision for the whole Methodist Church and for us to hold some things in common, but also a need to start ‘where people are’ and with the issues that people are facing in local contexts;

1.2.2. The continuing tension in our theological view of ordained ministry, within the common understanding expressed in the draft Statement. This was evident in some of the differences that existed in the churches that came together when the Deed of Union was formed, and different approaches to understanding the roles of lay and ordained persist;

1.2.3. The tension between Methodism’s origins as a movement, and its current status and structures as an institution established by an Act of Parliament. Although we are a Church, and thus ordered accordingly, the working out of how we organise our life together continues to be shaped, in part, by this dynamic.

2. Aspects of the work on ministry requiring further reflection

2.1. The Methodist Church is facing a number of challenges and opportunities which impact on the way in which ministry is exercised in Local Churches, Circuits and Districts (see Part B, section 3). The imbalance between the number of available circuit appointments and the number of presbyters available for stationing to circuit appointments is a major concern. In addition, the variety of expectations in the size of appointments described in the stationing profiles has been noted. During the consultation in relation to the Ministry in the Methodist Church report, it became evident that a substantial number of presbyters identify significant constraints on their geographical availability. This, and imbalances in circuit finances, means that there are some areas where it is difficult to provide and resource the ordained ministry that is needed. Some Circuits have sought to respond to this challenge by developing different patterns of ministry. Alongside this, engagement with new communities through fresh expressions and pioneer ministry, and engagement with language and culturally-specific congregations and fellowships, has seen the development of Christian communities often led by local preachers and lay officers. As Circuits explore different patterns of ministry and face the challenges of finding people with both the appropriate gifts and time to undertake various roles, ministers frequently have to take

101 The Methodist Church, 1999, Called to Love and Praise, §4.6.2 “How is this ‘connexional principle’ effected? First, at all levels of the church, the structures of fellowship, consultation, government and oversight express the interdependence of all churches, and help to point up, at all levels, necessary priorities in mission and service. Second, alongside this, as the natural corollary of connexionalism, local churches, Circuits and Districts exercise the greatest possible degree of autonomy. This is necessary if they are to express their own cultural identity and to respond to local calls of mission and service in an appropriate way. But their dependence on the larger whole is also necessary for their own continuing vitality and well-being. Such local autonomy may also need to be limited from time to time in the light of the needs of the whole Church.”

102 The Methodist Conference, 2017, report from the Stationing Committee, §1.3 and 2.2

103 The Methodist Conference, 2017, report from the Stationing Committee, §1.3
responsibility for ensuring that a variety of functions are fulfilled. Lay employees now undertake what the Conference has noted to be “an unprecedented variety of ministries in our Church,” and, building on conversations about local pastoral ministry, some Circuits have appointed people as local lay pastors (see 2.5.1 below).

2.2. The development of new roles and different patterns of ministry raises questions that have persisted for a number of years. In the light of this, and flowing from the work on ministry in the Methodist Church, there are five particular areas which warrant further reflection and exploration.

2.3. **Revisiting Releasing Ministers for Ministry**

2.3.1. In response to a request to “reopen recruitment for presbyters who before 1999 would have been called ministers in local appointment,” the 2017 Conference acknowledged that current practice with regards to the deployment and stationing of ministers does not fully reflect the flexible patterns of ministry envisaged in the 2002 *Releasing Ministers for Ministry* report. As a consequence of that report, the 2002 Conference affirmed the proposal that there be one category of presbyteral ministry which contains all presbyters in Full Connexion and that a single, transparent discipline of stationing be developed. It was envisaged that, within the stationing process, some Circuits would offer appointments that might be full-time or part-time, stipendiary or self-supporting, and that presbyters would similarly state what type of arrangement they were seeking. In practice, the appointments offered by Circuits and sought by ministers within the stationing processes are usually full-time and stipendiary. The 2017 Conference directed the Faith and Order Committee to consider these issues as part of its work on ministry.

2.3.2. There continue to be Methodists who believe that they are called to presbyteral or diaconal ministry at a time when there are particular reasons for them not to move away from the area in which they are living. Those reasons might be personal circumstance, family need, an identified missional need, or the needs of or their involvement in a particular ethnic or language group. There are also presbyters and deacons who may need to be in a particular place for a period of time for similar reasons. Some ministers seek appointments that are less than full-time, sometimes combining a circuit appointment with another appointment either within or outside of the control of the Methodist Church. Some feel called to exercise ministry through pioneering, chaplaincy, theological education, or work within a secular context. Whilst there are some opportunities for undertaking such ministries as part of a circuit appointment, these are not common. There is therefore a need to establish why what was envisaged in the *Releasing Ministers for Ministry* report has not materialised, particularly within the stationing process, and why some subsequent policy decisions have not been adhered to.

2.3.3. In order that it can be reported to the Conference the extent to which the proposals in *Releasing Ministers for Ministry* are still appropriate nearly two decades on and therefore how they will be implemented, revised or replaced, and to enable further recommendations to be brought, the practical, theological and policy aspects of a number of areas require further exploration. These include:
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104 The Methodist Conference, 2011, General Secretary’s Report, §39
105 The Methodist Conference, 2017, Memorial 2
106 The Methodist Conference, 2017, Memorial 3
107 The Methodist Conference, 2017, reply to Memorial 2
108 It would be helpful to further consider the recommendations in the 2008 Stationing Review Group report and subsequent work (including the 2009 *Taking Forward the Stationing Review Group’s Report*).
• Appropriately responding to ministers who feel called to exercise ministry in a specific context in the light of the needs of the whole Methodist Church, noting that fresh expressions, the pioneer pathway and the needs of particular cultural and language groups have emphasised the contextual nature of some individuals’ gifts and vocation;

• Questions about second and subsequent appointments for ministers who were known as Ministers in Local Appointments (MLAs) or have, more recently, declared during the candidating process that they have limited deployability;

• Issues in relation to self-supporting ministry, including the perception that self-supporting ministers (and MLAs) are somehow ‘second-class’ ministers;

• The number of ministers with limited geographical deployability, whether for a period of time or on an ongoing basis;

• The nature, availability and understanding of part-time appointments, the reasons why they are both offered and sought, and how ministers are matched with these appointments;

• The number of ministers who seek permission to live in their own homes;

• Appropriately supporting ministers returning to circuit ministry from appointments not in the control of the Church, from periods without appointment, and from appointments abroad;

• The number of supernumeraries who undertake some part-time work in a Circuit (paid or unpaid);

• The variety of appointments that may be available and within the control of the Methodist Church but are not brought within the stationing process.

2.3.4. Some joint work by the Faith and Order, Ministries and Stationing committees on these and other related issues is now needed to help the Methodist Church respond to the opportunities and challenges it encounters in a changed and changing context, particularly with regards to the appropriate deployment of ministers.

2.4. Stationing and itinerancy

2.4.1. As indicated in section 2.1, there are persisting questions about the potential dissonance between the Methodist Church’s understanding of itinerancy and experiences of the stationing process. In practice many ministers are not available to be stationed anywhere within the Connexion (see 2.3.2) and this has prompted questions about the role and place of itinerancy in the Methodist Church today.

2.4.2. The experiences of the Stationing Committee, with regards to the stationing of presbyters, indicate that there is more possibility than sometimes appears of a greater level of geographical deployability when presbyters respond to the challenge of fulfilling a particular need. It is also acknowledged that there always has been a body of presbyters who have exercised ministry solely within one District or a particular geographical area, whether through pastoral or missional need or because that is how the stationing process has worked. Yet, there is a tension not just between the place, nature and number of appointments available in any one year and the availability, gifts and number of presbyters, but also between the needs of particular contexts in the Connexion and the resources
available to support an appointment.

2.4.3. The difference between the number of appointments and the number of presbyters available to fill them further draws attention to the roles and functions that presbyters in circuit appointments are expected to fulfil (whether explicit, implicit or by default). The 2008 report of the Stationing Review Group noted some of the variety of factors that lead to “minister-dependence” and encouraged further consideration of a variety of issues relating to the practical shape of presbyteral and diaconal ministry.\(^\text{109}\) Drawing on the understanding of ordained ministry outlined in the draft Statement (Part B, 7.4), such consideration may help the whole Connexion to identify where and how ministers are best deployed and to discern the criteria for deployment. It could also help Circuits to give attention to the nature and shape of presbyteral and diaconal appointments in relation to other forms, and potential patterns, of ministry.

2.4.4. In the light of these questions and challenges, there are aspects of both itinerancy and stationing that warrant further consideration. A review of the principles of itineracy and the ways in which these are expressed, including its relationship to geographical deployability and its continuing relevance in relation to our understanding of ordained ministry, would be timely.

2.4.5. Itinerancy, which relates to travelling from place to place and exercising ministry in a variety of different contexts, should be distinguished from stationing which is the process by which the Methodist Church deploys its ministers. All ministers are annually stationed by the Conference. Through being in a relationship of Full Connexion with the Conference, ministers are accountable to the Conference and engage in shared discernment about where their gifts are best used. The Conference is responsible for deploying ministers appropriately and for resourcing and supporting them in their ministry (see Part B, section 7.4.2.4). Itinerancy and stationing are interrelated and one cannot be considered without the other, therefore some further reflection on aspects of the stationing process is also necessary. Some of this already forms part of the continuing work of the Stationing Committee and some more focused work by the Stationing, Ministries and Faith and Order committees will be beneficial.

2.5. **Local pastoral ministry**

2.5.1. The development, over more than two decades, of local pastoral ministry in a variety of contexts across the Connexion challenges the Methodist Church to give attention to the different models and understandings of what is taking place. Local pastoral ministry, now commonly referred to as ‘local lay ministry,’ refers to the ministry of people who are authorised by a Local Church or Circuit to engage in pastoral ministry and mission with a local congregation for a specified period of time. The shape of these roles varies in different places, and different titles are used (including ‘Local Pastoral Minister,’ ‘Local Lay Pastor’ and sometimes the more generic ‘Lay Worker’). The Ministries Committee has provisionally identified those undertaking such roles as fulfilling the ‘function’ of being a focused, recognised and consistent presence in a particular community or context. Whilst this function is exercised in many churches by the presbyter in pastoral charge, when ordained resources are spread thinly it is sometimes fulfilled through lay pastoral ministry. Such ministry has developed out of need but with little theological reflection or collective view regarding the questions and challenges that arise. It is recommended that the Faith and Order, Ministries and Stationing committees now address these to help the Methodist Church come to a shared understanding about the nature and practice of local pastoral ministry. This work should take into consideration the theology expressed in the draft Statement on ministry (Part B, and particularly section 7.3), the work already undertaken by

\(^\text{109}\) The Methodist Conference, 2008, Stationing Review Group
the Ministries Committee, and the experiences of those who have developed such patterns of ministry in different parts of the Connexion.

2.5.2. The work already undertaken by the Ministries Committee responded to the 2011 General Secretary’s Report which drew on the concept of ‘a pastor for every church.’ This work identified a need for some consideration and clarification of the following:

- the theological, relational and operational issues of local pastoral ministry with ordained circuit colleagues;
- the appropriate place of sacramental ministry within changing patterns of ministry and in the light of our understanding of the nature of ordination (see Part B, section 7.4.3);
- models of local ministry in other churches from which we can learn;
- existing examples of locally coordinated ministry within the life of the Church, and the variety of forms of local pastoral ministry.

2.5.3. As a result of this work:

- Resources have been produced to help support those exercising local lay pastoral ministries and these are available on the website at www.methodist.org.uk/supportinglocalministry;
- There was consideration of the question of whether those in these roles should be ‘connexionally authorised’ and whether there should be clearer expectations of what such a role entails and how accountability is exercised. Whilst the language of ‘connexional authorisation’ is misleading (see Part B, section 7.3) there continue to be questions about whether such a role should now be recognised as an office in the Methodist Church and about appropriate structures of oversight and accountability.

2.5.4. In many places people are undertaking local pastoral ministry roles (whether paid or on a voluntary basis). Given these developments, and some assumptions that are now being made, there is a clear need to address questions of theology, ecclesiology, policy and practice. Is there a clearly identifiable role with common features and a core purpose? If so, is it appropriate for this to be a connexionally recognised office? How are people in such roles selected, trained, resourced, supported, supervised and held to account, and how is it determined how long they should serve? What gifts and graces should be demonstrated? How is the representative character of such authorised lay ministry to be understood and expressed, including through appropriate mechanisms of accountability? How do those in such roles relate to the presbyter in pastoral charge and to lay officers? How is oversight of such roles exercised, and how do they share in oversight in local contexts? How is the tension between contextual needs and shared common understanding and practice resolved in relation to this particular ministry?

2.5.5. There are also questions that need exploring around ‘employed lay ministry.’ This is now widespread in the Connexion in a variety of forms and there is, as yet, little theological work about how we understand it. It raises different questions from those of the ministry in which all the baptised share, not least about the relationship between work and vocation, the differences between employment and ministry, and the expectations (with regard to faith and membership of the Methodist Church) of those employed to undertake roles in the

---
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life of the Church. There are differences between the selection, support, resourcing and structures of accountability of those who are lay employees and those who voluntarily undertake specific roles and offices in the life of the Methodist Church. If there is to be further work on local pastoral ministry then this provides an opportunity for such exploration.

2.5.6. The draft Statement on ministry in the Methodist Church encourages a rediscovery of Class Meetings (Part B, section 4.3.6), and there are related questions about how, over time, the role of the Class Leader has been dispersed, with the functions now usually being fulfilled by those in other offices. Class Leaders traditionally exercised considerable pastoral and spiritual leadership in collaboration with the presbyter with pastoral charge of a Local Church. As many local lay pastors fulfil similar functions, it would seem helpful that this is explored as part of the work.

2.6. Different patterns of ministry have often developed through shared work with our ecumenical partners. Any exploration of the areas identified in this section would be incomplete without some consideration of similar developments in other churches and the shared ministry that we do, or could, offer in different contexts.

2.7. A thorough exploration of the questions of theology, practice and policy in relation to the areas identified above requires some collaborative working between the Faith and Order, Ministries and Stationing committees. It is therefore recommended that the Secretary of the Conference oversees a piece of joint work by these committees to explore the areas identified in paragraphs 2.3 – 2.6 and bring any recommendations to the Conference of 2020 (see Resolution 32/5 below).

2.8. **Pioneer ministry**

2.8.1. Across the Connexion there are a number of people, lay and ordained, in roles that have pioneering as the main focus of ministry. A pioneer is someone who can imagine new possibilities and has the ability to bring them into being, seeking to connect with those who are not engaged in the life of the Church to help them encounter God and explore what it might mean for them to be a disciple of Jesus Christ. They are creative and resourceful, community oriented and kingdom focused. The development of the pioneer pathway has helped to identify, release and support those who are suitable for such work, recognising that there is a significant diversity of context, experience and approach among those involved.

2.8.2. During the consultation in relation to ministry in the Methodist Church the following areas for further consideration emerged:

- There are questions to be explored about how pioneer ministry relates to other forms of ministry, including presbyteral and diaconal ministry and some forms of local pastoral ministry;

- There are questions about whether the ‘true’ pioneers are those who help to develop new Christian communities and then stay and help to sustain them, or those who go for a while and then come back to the ‘established’ church community, possibly to be sent somewhere new. Is a pioneer always a pioneer?

- There is a tension between the need for creative flexibility and legitimate accountability, and questions of where and how that is best held;

- There is significant diversity of context, experience and approach among those involved in the world of pioneering and fresh expressions. Some are pioneers
because of generic gifts and skills, some are pioneers because they feel a particular call to a particular community;

- There is a continuing question about whether pioneers should be authorised and, if so, by whom. Some would find it helpful for Pioneer to be an office in the life of the Methodist Church whilst others fear that it would ‘domesticate’ something that has a helpful degree of flexibility in relating to other areas of the Church’s life;

- Questions of how pioneers are most effectively identified, supported, resourced, supervised and held to account require further exploration;

- The current stationing process has the ability to station ministers to such appointments where they are offered, but the availability of such appointments is inconsistent and unpredictable. A more intentional approach may be called for.

2.8.3. There is a question to be addressed as to what kind of work is needed next, recognising a tension between those who would want to explore developing an office of ‘Pioneer’ in the life of the Church, and those who would want there to be further space to see how pioneering ministry and fresh expressions develop. Many fresh expressions and new Christian communities are still relatively young and there has not yet been sufficient time to see how they mature and develop in relation to the Methodist Church. In many cases it is too early to tell what impact and role fresh expressions have in the life of the Church, including issues about whether such communities are sustainable and what kind of ministry they require on an ongoing basis. As pioneer ministry and fresh expressions of church continue to evolve, some robust reflection on learning would be helpful (and this needs more time to acquire) before any questions about creating an office of Pioneer are further explored. It is not, therefore, proposed that a more focused piece of work is undertaken at this point, but it is recommended that the Ministries Committee continues to give attention to pioneer ministry both to build on what has been developed so far and to ensure that there is a gathering of learning and opportunities for collaborative reflection (including with ecumenical partners) on questions of practice, policy and theology (see Resolution 32/6 below).

2.9. Chaplaincy

2.9.1. Chaplaincy is an established and important aspect of the ministry of the Methodist Church, and has been since its beginnings. Today there are many different kinds of chaplaincies in a range of contexts, for example in hospitals and hospices; prisons; universities, colleges and schools; in a wide variety of workplaces; and within particular communities. They can be full-time or part-time, voluntary or paid, short-term or long-term, and are undertaken by lay or ordained. Indeed, full-time ordained chaplains are in the minority. Whether they are appointed by the Local Church, Circuit or District, chaplains are always sent, supported by and accountable to the Church. Chaplains usually engage with people who have little or no contact with the Church, sometimes at a time of particular need, and they often work in secular institutions and in ecumenical or multi-faith contexts.

2.9.2. Some of the questions that arise about other forms of ministry, for example pioneers and local pastoral ministry, have also arisen with regards to chaplaincy from time to time. It may be helpful for those exploring such questions to reflect on the history, practice and variety of chaplaincy in the Methodist Church to help us remember how the Church has responded, and continues to respond, to changing needs and to different and new communities, and how it engages in a form of ministry which is shaped by particular contexts and therefore requires a degree of flexibility in how it is both exercised and overseen. Whilst the range
and variety of chaplaincies have changed over time, and chaplains are appointed by and accountable to the Church in different ways, it is a form of ministry about which there is some common understanding. The history and continuing experiences of Methodist chaplains therefore both illustrate and navigate the tensions identified in section 1.2 and reflection on this aspect of ministry in the Methodist Church may provide some helpful resources when considering some of the questions identified above.

2.10. **Leadership and oversight**

2.10.1. In recent years, and particularly since the report *Leadership in the Methodist Church* (2002), a variety of reports have been received by the Conference which discuss various aspects of leadership in the Methodist Church. The use of the term ‘leadership’ has become more commonplace in the changed and changing contexts indicated in the draft Statement (Part B, section 3), but the Methodist Church has not yet undertaken much (theological) reflection on how the term is employed. Perceptions and expressions of leadership are culturally conditioned and in the course of producing this report, it has been noted that various (and sometimes contrasting) understandings and models of leadership are employed, or assumed, without consideration of how these relate to our understanding of the Church and its ministry, nor to oversight. In order to help the Methodist Church as it continues to reflect on aspects and models of leadership, some shared understanding of what is meant by ‘leadership’ would be useful. Some theological reflection on ‘understanding leadership in a Methodist context’ would be a positive contribution to resourcing conversations and provide a framework and some common reference points for those who are addressing the variety of questions that exist with regard to leadership.

2.10.2. It will be important for such work to include the following:

- A review of theological work that has already been undertaken by different groups in the Methodist Church in relation to leadership;
- Engagement with and theological reflection on contemporary thinking and models of leadership in social science and business disciplines;
- Consideration of similar work done by ecumenical partners; and
- A methodology that offers a theological critique of the models of leadership being offered to and in the Church.

2.10.3. It is therefore recommended that the Faith and Order Committee produces a report that will provide a theological and ecclesiological framework to help resource those who are exploring and seeking to address questions of leadership in the Methodist Church today (see Resolution 32/7 below).

3. **Progress of work previously directed by the Conference**

3.1. **The theology and ecclesiology underpinning the diaconate**

3.1.1. The 2013 Conference directed the Faith and Order Committee, in consultation with the Methodist Diaconal Order (MDO), to undertake work on the theology and ecclesiology underpinning the diaconate in Methodism, its place within the British Connexion and its place within the universal Church (Resolution 44/11). Within the universal Church, deacons in the Methodist Church in Britain are currently in the distinctive position of belonging to both an order of ministry and a religious order. Within ecumenical conversations, and as the MDO has evolved and the Church’s understanding of the diaconate has developed, the Methodist Church in Britain has been challenged to reflect on how it understands and...
undertakes its diaconal ministry, and how that ministry is focused in those it sets apart as deacons through ordination. A number of factors prompted the 2013 Conference direction\textsuperscript{111} and the work was welcomed by the MDO, some members of which had long been calling for such work to take place.\textsuperscript{112}

3.1.2. In 2016 the Conference received the report \textit{The Theology and Ecclesiology Underpinning the Diaconate – Interim Report}. The report sets out some biblical and historical understandings of the diaconate within the universal Church, reviews recent ecumenical developments and conversations, offers an account of the story of the MDO and the diaconate within the British Methodist Church, and reflects on current experience. It is intended to be read alongside the 1993 report, \textit{The Methodist Diaconal Order}, and these two reports provide the foundational thinking for a British Methodist theology and ecclesiology underpinning the diaconate.

3.1.3. The \textit{Interim Report} highlighted a number of areas needing further exploration. One fundamental question that had persisted across the years was that of the relationship between the religious order and the order of ministry. The Conference has previously noted that British Methodism makes a contribution to the wider Church as its deacons focus and represent servant ministry “as much through being members of a religious order as being part of an order of ministry in Full Connexion with the Conference,”\textsuperscript{113} yet it has struggled to articulate this contribution in theological and ecclesiological terms.\textsuperscript{114} To further explore this relationship the Conference agreed that further consideration needed to be given to the nature of the MDO and directed the Methodist Council (with the MDO and the Faith and Order Committee) to consider “whether the religious order should be opened to receive into membership Methodists who are lay or ordained to presbyteral ministry” and “to consider whether those whom it ordains to the diaconal order of ministry continue to be required also to become members of the religious order.”\textsuperscript{115} Once the Methodist Council has considered these questions and there is sufficient clarity about both the nature and purpose of the MDO in a changed and changing context and whether it will continue to be a requirement that all ordained to the diaconal order of ministry are also members of the religious order, then the further reflection on the theology and ecclesiology underpinning the diaconate will be able to take place. It is hoped that all pieces of work will be completed so as to enable a report to be brought to the 2019 Conference.

3.2. \textbf{The liturgical role of deacons}

3.2.1. In 2012, the Conference directed the Faith and Order Committee to explore with the MDO and the Ministries Committee the liturgical role of deacons within the Methodist Church and, if appropriate, find ways of affirming that. Following some initial work and consultation, it was felt appropriate that this work should be embraced within the work on the theology and ecclesiology underpinning the diaconate.

3.2.2. The Faith and Order Committee, the Ministries Committee and the MDO have considered various aspects of the liturgical role of deacons, more recently focusing on the ministry of proclamation. A final report on the liturgical role of deacons will therefore be included as part of that report in 2019.
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3.3. **Church stewards and lay leadership**

3.3.1. In 2014 the Conference directed the Faith and Order Committee to ensure that a review of the role and responsibilities of church stewards and other forms of lay leadership is included in the review of ministry in the Methodist Church.

3.3.2. As part of this reflection, the following points were noted:

- In Local Churches and Circuits leadership is expressed in a diversity of ways, for example through church and circuit stewards working with the minister(s) or through leadership teams (and such teams can be differently comprised, sometimes including other key office-holders or lay employees);

- The role of church and circuit stewards differs in different contexts, for example in some places there are also vestry stewards, and in Circuits of different sizes, circuit stewards have very different kinds of roles and workloads. Ecumenical partners have different understandings of ministry, and leadership in local churches takes different forms (see paragraph 3.4 of Part B). URC Elders, for example, are not equivalent to church stewards, not least because theirs is a lifelong call to ministry. Attention therefore needs to be paid to different understandings of call and to the different roles and functions undertaken by those who occupy positions of leadership in ecumenical contexts, particularly single congregation LEPs;

- There has been an increased emphasis on team and collaborative ministry with many different examples of how teams are comprised and of patterns of working;

- Many of the questions that emerge focus on how people are selected, resourced and supported in these roles.

3.3.3. The diversity of patterns of leadership in Local Churches and Circuits is needed because local contexts differ. Given the variety in the size and shape of Local Churches and Circuits, and that these continue to change, patterns of leadership appropriate to the local context will continue to evolve. Our current Standing Orders allow for sufficient flexibility and it is suggested that any further reflection in this area would most appropriately be taken forward in local contexts where reflection on how those in these posts are resourced, equipped and supported is to be encouraged. However, such ministry is expressed in the local church or circuit context, it should be in keeping with the understanding of ministry expressed in the draft Statement and with our Standing Orders. There is a need for increased awareness of our existing polity which is often more flexible than is sometimes assumed.

***RESOLUTIONS***


32/5. The Conference directed the Secretary of the Conference to oversee joint work by the Faith and Order, Ministries, and Stationing committees to explore the aspects of changing patterns of ministry identified in paragraphs 2.3 to 2.6 of this report (particularly revisiting Releasing Ministers for Ministry, itinerancy and stationing, local pastoral ministry, and employed lay ministry), and, in consultation with the Authorisations Committee, to explore issues regarding lay employees being granted authorisations to preside at the Lord’s Supper, and to report on such joint work to the 2020 Conference.
32/6. The Conference directed the Ministries Committee to continue to give attention to pioneer ministry and to ensure that there is a gathering of learning and opportunities for collaborative reflection on questions of practice, policy and theology.

32/7. The Conference directed the Faith and Order Committee to explore theological and ecclesiological aspects of the nature of leadership in the Methodist Church and report to the 2020 Conference.