### Basic Information

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Justice for Palestine and Israel</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Contact Name and Details</strong></td>
<td>Steve Hucklesby, Policy Adviser  &lt;br&gt;<a href="mailto:hucklesbys@methodistchurch.org.uk">hucklesbys@methodistchurch.org.uk</a></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Status of Paper</strong></td>
<td>Final Report</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution/s</th>
<th>Resolution Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>14/1</td>
<td>The Methodist Conference receives the report Justice for Palestine and Israel.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/2</td>
<td>The Methodist Conference adopts the Affirmation in 7.4.1 as its reply to NOM 226 (2009) “Israel/Palestine Working Group”</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14/3</td>
<td>The Methodist Conference commends the report for reflection and action in Local Churches, Circuits and Districts.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 14/4 | The Methodist Conference affirms and restates its support for  
  a) the vital work of the World Council of Churches Ecumenical Accompaniment Programme for Palestine and Israel (EAPPI), encourages Methodists to volunteer for the programme and asks Churches, Circuits and Districts to make use of the experience of returning participants  
  b) Christian Aid and other aid agencies working amongst Palestinians in Gaza, the West Bank and elsewhere in the region  
  c) Israelis and Palestinians in all organisations working for justice and peace in the area. |
| 14/5 | The Methodist Conference directs the Faith and Order Committee to undertake further work on the theological issues, including Christian Zionism, raised in the report that are needed to guide and support the approach of the Methodist Church to the Israeli/Palestinian situation and to bring a report to Conference. |
| 14/6 | The Methodist Conference directs the Connexional Team to resource Churches, Circuits and Districts in their understanding of and engagement with the issues involved by:  
  a) providing information on the networks through which they can keep up to date with developments in the Israeli/Palestinian situation  
  b) making available study materials covering the present situation to include the witness and teaching of Palestinian Christians especially that contained in the Kairos document produced by Palestinian Christian leaders in December 2009.  
  c) encouraging support for educational events around the Connexion. |
| 14/7 | The Methodist Conference directs the Connexional Team to make appropriate representations to the UK Government and the European Commission calling for action to bring to an end the Occupation and the siege of Gaza and for further Government pressure to be applied to allow a process of serious peacemaking to }
take place that eschews violent conflict.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Resolution</th>
<th>Text</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| 14/8       | The Methodist Conference encourages  
|            | a) Districts, Circuits and Churches to support the World Council of Churches week of prayer and action for a just peace in Israel/Palestine at the beginning of June each year and also endorse the Just Peace for Palestine initiative of the Amos Trust  
|            | b) the Methodist people:  
|            | i) to write to their MPs, MEPs and Government ministers to call for urgent help to be given to those who are suffering as a result of the Occupation  
|            | ii) to visit the region of Israel/Palestine with those agencies which will help enable them to meet and engage with Palestinian Christians  
|            | iii) to engage in respectful dialogue with Jews and Muslims on this issue. |
| 14/9       | The Methodist Conference notes the call of the World Council of Churches in 2009 for an international boycott of settlement produce and services and the support given for such a boycott by Christian leaders in Palestine in the “Kairos” document, Palestinian civil society and a growing number of Jewish organisations both inside Israel and worldwide and calls on the Methodist people to support and engage with this boycott of Israeli goods emanating from illegal settlements. |
| 14/10      | The Methodist Conference directs the Methodist Council and the Connexional Team, as a matter of urgency, to consider and develop further ways in which the Methodist Church of Great Britain and its people, in conjunction with both ecumenical, inter-faith and other interested groups, can work for an end to the Occupation, an end to the blockade of Gaza, adherence to international law by all sides and a just peace for all in the region. |
| 14/11      | The Methodist Conference commends all the peoples of the region to the loving care of Almighty God and urges the Methodist people to engage in regular, informed prayer for the needs of the Land of the Holy One. |

Summary of Content

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Subject and Aims</th>
<th>The report outlines the Methodist Church’s position on Israel/Palestine.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| Main Points      | 1. Occupation has made life intolerable for many.  
|                  | 2. Public awareness of what is actually happening in Israel/Palestine is largely lacking  
|                  | 3. A more robust theological analysis is required.  
|                  | 4. The report identifies ways in which individual members and the Connexion as a whole might take appropriate action. These are proposed in resolutions 5 to 11. |
**Background Context and Relevant Documents (with function)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th><strong>Impact</strong></th>
<th>A resolution has been proposed inviting further theological work without placing any recommendations on timescale.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Risk</strong></td>
<td>None</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Previous Conference Resolutions on Israel and Palestine can be found on the Israel Palestine page of the Methodist Church website.

The Israel Palestine Working Group was established by the 2009 Methodist Conference (NoM 226) to bring a report to Conference in 2010. A proposal for this work was brought before Methodist Council in September 2009 (MC/09/80).
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***RESOLUTIONS***
1. Working Group Brief

1.1 Background

Methodist Conference 2009 adopted the following Notice of Motion:

7/28 Notice of Motion 226: Israel/Palestine Working Group

Bearing in mind the events of the last 8 months, including the Gaza War and Israeli incursions; the deteriorating conditions of occupation under which Palestinians are forced to live and their request for Christians in the West to visit them and to speak out on their behalf, Conference resolves:

i) as a matter of urgency to establish a Methodist working group facilitated by the Joint Public Issues Team (JPIT), to bring to Conference 2010, a statement of the Methodist Church’s position on Israel/Palestine, taking into account past resolutions of Conference and international law;
ii) that the Proposer, The Revd Nichola G Jones, and Seconder, Dr Stephen Leah, be part of the working group.

1.2 Terms of Reference

The following Terms of Reference were agreed by The Methodist Council September 2009:

The Working Group on Israel/Palestine shall bring to Conference 2010 a report outlining the Methodist Church’s position on Israel/Palestine, taking into consideration:

a. previous statements on Israel/Palestine made by the Methodist Conference and reports received by Methodist Council or Methodist Conference. Working group members will be asked to affirm that they are in agreement with previously stated Conference positions on the occupation.

b. the statements of the Heads of Churches in Jerusalem and other strategic partners

c. the context of the current crisis

d. the application of international law and human rights instruments and make appropriate recommendations for how this statement should be used to inform future work by the Methodist Church.

1.3 Membership of the Group

The following were appointed by The Methodist Council September 2009:

- Revd Graham Carter (Chair) – former President of the Methodist Conference
- Revd Alan Ashton – over 32 years experience of the situation, has family living in East Jerusalem. A frequent traveller to Palestine and Israel
- Revd Warren Bardsley – returned accompanier with the Ecumenical Accompaniment Programme in Palestine and Israel
- Dr Elizabeth Harris – Senior Lecturer in Comparative Study of Religion, Hope University
- Steve Huckleby – Policy Adviser, Joint Public Issues Team
- Revd Nichola Jones – member of Friends of Sabeel and frequent traveller
- Revd Marian Jones – frequent traveller with contacts in Israel/Palestine
- Dr Stephen Leah – Peace campaigner with contacts in UK peace and justice community and in Israel/Palestine
- Revd Samuel McBratney – Lecturer in Religion and Social Ethics at City University London

A number of other readers or consultants to the group were invited to comment on draft work. As the Joint Public Issues Team is a venture between three UK denominations, the Baptist Union of
Great Britain and United Reformed Church were invited to comment at draft stage.

2. Introduction

2.1 ‘As Jesus came near and saw Jerusalem, he wept over it, saying, “If you, even you, had recognized on this day the things that make for peace! But now they are hidden from your eyes.”’ (Luke 19:41-42) Two thousand years on, these words from Luke’s Gospel still ring eerily true as the peace of Jerusalem remains desperately elusive. Yet most commentators, among them Archbishop Desmond Tutu, agree that the conflict in Israel/Palestine is central to current patterns of insecurity in the world. British Methodists are touched, therefore, with the spirit of Godly sorrow expressed by Luke’s Gospel that conflict and injustice continue to scar the Middle East and not just because the UK bears a historical responsibility for the region. We also belong to a worldwide communion that is alert to the impact of poverty, exploitation and oppression and committed to the gospel of peace and justice and so we are compelled to act. This report does not seek to offer political solutions but rather to help British Methodists understand better some of the complexities that surround the current situation. We continue to affirm the right of the State of Israel to exist and that all the inhabitants of Israel/Palestine are entitled to their full human rights, including the right to live in peace and security and without the threat of violence. For this report, the key hindrance to security and a lasting peace for all in the region is the Occupation of Palestinian territory by the State of Israel, now in its fifth decade. This will be the central focus of the report, drawing on the witness of Israelis and Palestinians; Jews, Christians and Muslims. In all our deliberations, we have been mindful of the prayer of a Palestinian Christian:

“Pray not for Arab or Jew, for Palestinian or Israeli, but pray rather for ourselves that we might not divide them in our prayers but keep them both together in our hearts.”

2.2 Methodist people in the UK cherish their links with a worldwide communion that today includes around 70 million Methodist members. Our relationships with sisters and brothers across the globe help to heighten awareness of injustice. Through hearing the accounts of people’s personal experience, the impact of exploitation, oppression and poverty is exposed.

2.3 Although Israel and Palestine are constantly in the news the full implications of the Israeli Occupation of the Palestinian Territories on the Palestinian community are not well appreciated. Israel militarily occupied the West Bank, East Jerusalem, the Gaza Strip and the Syrian Golan Heights in 1967. After 43 years, it remains the occupying power and thus responsible under international law for the welfare of the Palestinian inhabitants of these territories. The Occupation, however, causes great suffering to Palestinians as well as Israelis and some of these effects are described in Section 5.

2.4 The World Council of Churches has sought to address the lack of awareness of the situation by instituting The Ecumenical Accompaniment Programme for Palestine and Israel (EAPPI). This programme, which is supported financially by the Methodist Church, places volunteers for a total of 3 months amongst Palestinian and Israeli communities. Those who serve on a short-term placement subsequently commit themselves to telling their stories in their country of origin. A total of 12 volunteers from the Methodist Church in the UK have served as Ecumenical Accompaniers and have spoken at numerous church gatherings. It is, in part, in recognition that these personal accounts need to be told that the Methodist Conference asked for this report.

1 Henceforth to be referred to in this report as Gaza
I was a General Practitioner for over 30 years. It was an enormous privilege to have been allowed to accompany so many wonderful people along significant stretches of their life journeys.

Being an Ecumenical Accompanier is somewhat similar. For three months we accompany ordinary Palestinians along a short but significant stretch of their life journeys. We stand beside them as they strive to maintain some sort of normality to their lives in the face of the continuing Occupation and the human rights abuses associated with it. We support Israeli peace activists as they demonstrate against the Occupation and try to give practical help to those suffering as a result of it.

I remember sitting in a small ward in the Maqassad Hospital on the Mount of Olives with the parents of a teenage boy who had been shot in the head by Israeli police during a peaceful demonstration against the Gaza War. The television was showing continuous footage of the war – and we talked about peace. I can hear Hamam’s father now in his slow voice, ‘All we want is peace – peace for Palestinians, peace for Israelis, peace for everyone.’ Never once did I hear this man, a devout Muslim, utter one word against the Israeli soldier who shot his son.

For many Ecumenical Accompaniers, our three months living in a country under Occupation are a life-changing experience. We return home with a passion for the country, a passion for the people and a passion for peace and justice for all. That’s why we continue to engage in the struggle, to write letters, to start projects and to travel around the country giving presentations and urging others to campaign with us.

Liz Burroughs

In January 2009 I left Britain to serve as a volunteer with the Ecumenical Accompaniment Programme in Palestine and Israel (EAPPI). This programme placed me with an international team in Hebron in the West Bank, occupied Palestinian territory.

My three month placement in Hebron opened my eyes to life under Occupation. Hebron is a microcosm of the Israeli Occupation in that it has at its centre the reality of settlements and a heavy military presence. Approximately 500 Israeli settlers live within the heart of the city due to their ideological belief in the importance of Hebron as the home of the patriarchs (Abraham is believed to be buried here). Tension between Palestinian residents and settlers is rife and leads to attacks and disregard of property. The consequence of Israel ensuring the security of its settlers is that checkpoints and closures are evident all over the city; there are numerous soldiers on patrol, and Palestinians experience discrimination in restricted movement.

I share one story of an experience towards the end of my stay in Hebron. I had been invited to the home of a schoolgirl’s family. At the time of our scheduled meeting we were unable to meet as Israeli soldiers had imposed a curfew forbidding her to leave her home and a checkpoint preventing me access to her road. I finally got to the home once the closure had been lifted. The hospitality was generous, but what impressed me was the attitude of the family. They appeared unembittered by the frequent restrictions on their freedom and talked of working non-violently for change in their land.

It was also heartening to share with Israeli peace organisations concerned about the militarisation of society. ‘New Profile’ is an admirable organisation seeking to support Israeli young people who choose to be conscientious objectors. Similarly, ‘Breaking the Silence’ seeks to support former Israeli soldiers who wish to give testimony about their service in the army. I was able to accompany them on one of their tours round Hebron, which aimed to raise awareness about the consequences of Occupation.

As a returned Ecumenical Accompanier I am committed to engaging in action, which strives for a just peace in the region. It is impossible to forget the injustice of Occupation having witnessed this reality in the West Bank.

Shari Brown
2.5 The conflict in the Middle East is constructed on a history of competing narratives. Yet in the midst of this it is all too easy to overlook the breadth of common understanding that exists. An appreciation of the political context enables us to develop appropriate responses. Otherwise, as if with headlamps rushing towards us, we become petrified. On hearing the pleas of others we take upon ourselves a duty of reflection and action.

2.6 The Methodist Church in Britain/United Reformed Church report *Peacemaking: A Christian Vocation*\(^2\) affirms that the task of peacemaking is for each individual and for the whole church and a crucial part of faithfully following Christ. Even when the outlook for international relations looks bleak we are called to loving service, sustained by the influence of Christian hope.

*When Jesus Christ confronted the political and religious powers of his day, he chose to ride on a donkey in fulfilment of the prophecy of Zechariah that a new king would come who was ‘righteous and having salvation, gentle and riding on a donkey’, who would proclaim peace to the nations, and whose rule would extend ‘from sea to sea, from the River to the ends of the earth’.*\(^3\)

Consequently this report can be read as a call to action in solidarity with all in the Middle East who long for an end to violence and injustice. It begins with an analysis of some of the relevant theological issues associated with the conflict before continuing with a summary of the historical background. The detailed description of the nature of the Occupation, combined with the moving testimonies of individuals who have been affected by it, provides a crucial focus for our response. In our recommendations we call for an end to the Occupation, an end to the suffering it is causing and for actions that we hope will support both these aspirations and the cause of peace with justice for all in the region.

---

**Soldier’s Story: Breaking the Silence**

It is young Israeli soldiers, conscripted into the IDF at the age of 18 who are at the sharp end of the Occupation, particularly at separation barriers and checkpoints. In Hebron where 1500 IDF soldiers guard an Israeli settler community of 500 mainly located in the old city there is a Palestinian population of 140,000. An Orthodox Jew who served in Hebron tells of the human rights abuses he and his fellow-soldiers were called on to perpetrate. On his discharge he founded a movement called ‘Breaking the Silence’ whose main goal is to ‘... promote a public debate on the moral price paid by Israeli society as a whole due to the reality in which young soldiers are facing a civilian population every day and controlling it.’ He tells of returning to Hebron as a civilian to apologize to a family whose home he had systematically trashed for no apparent reason. He says; ‘In the short term I have little hope for the future of the Middle East. But I know that when I get up in the morning I have to do the right thing’. *(Breaking the Silence, Testimonial Booklet, 2, Jerusalem 2005)*

---

3. Theological Context and Issues

3.1 It is not the purpose of this report to offer more than a brief insight into the very complex set of theological issues thrown up by the current situation in the Middle East and to recommend further thought where necessary. It might be suggested that because the World Methodist family is one of the only major denominational families not to have established a permanent worshipping community in the so-called Holy Land that Methodists have no interest in the region. However this might actually be a more helpful position from which to analyse the issues, recognising our status as outsiders but nevertheless supporting all efforts towards a just and lasting peace.


\(^3\) Ibid, pg 71
3.2 Recognizing the complexity of the theological issues surrounding the Middle East and wishing to offer a proper introduction, we begin with two concepts already familiar to most Methodists: the idea of covenant and a concept of ‘holy land’. Covenant is a term very familiar to British Methodists as it has become part of our liturgical heritage. The Covenant Service draws heavily on imagery from the Hebrew Bible as it calls Methodists each year to reflect on their personal and collective pilgrimage and renew their commitment for another year. What is less certain is how much Methodists seriously reflect on their identity as a covenanted people and how this relates to other communities, especially the Jews. It would be for another report to explore this idea further and glean, for example, whether the Covenant Service itself has been or could be the basis of a Methodist-Jewish inter-faith dialogue.

3.3 Whilst Methodist and Jewish people both understand themselves to be in a binding covenant, in the context of the Middle East, the concept of covenant takes on much greater complexity. One writer suggests that the idea has become such a dominant part of Israeli national identity that even atheists talk of being given the land by the God they don’t believe in. It is important for Methodists to reflect more deeply on the meaning of covenant and differentiate the Israel they read about in their Bibles from the modern State of Israel they see in modern news footage. For some, modern Israelis are the Israelites of old and so the question of who has the right to live in the land between the River Jordan and the Mediterranean is redundant. The promises of land made to Abram in the book of Genesis are, for some at least, irrefutable and so no further thought is necessary.

3.4 There is no question that, according to the Biblical witness, covenantal promises were made to Abraham and his descendants and these, in part, related to a specific piece of land. There are, of course, questions over which land, given that Genesis in particular mentions three different areas promised to the family of a wandering Aramean in his old age. Even if consensus is reached on the exact area promised, this covenant raises three different issues – possession, inheritance and validity. The question of validity is perhaps the most controversial – basically, does this covenant still operate in the contemporary world and, if so, how and by what means should it be made a reality? Add to this the Davidic covenant which talks of a perpetual kingdom (see, for example, 2 Chron 7:16-18) and the issue is made more complex. For Christian theology, the validity of covenants established before the New Covenant established in and through Jesus Christ has always been an issue and still today we struggle with the writers of the New Testament on this subject. Particularly relevant for reflection on Israel/Palestine is a theology of supersessionism, whereby some have believed that the Church has succeeded the Jewish people as the New Israel and inherited all the promises previously made by God. Not only would this view seem to invalidate completely any claim on the land by the Jewish community but there is also a recognition that sometimes this doctrine has led to a perverse tradition within Christianity of anti-Judaism and possibly even anti-Semitism and has sometimes resulted in the charge of ‘Christ-killer’ being the justification for pogrom, murder, discrimination and Holocaust against the Jewish people throughout Europe. No post-Holocaust Christian theology can fail to deal with this ugly legacy especially given the foundational connection between the Shoah and the creation of the modern State of Israel.

---

5 Genesis 17:8v
6 For example, in Gen 28:13 God says to Jacob “The land on which you lie”; in Gen17:8 it is “all the land of Canaan” and in Gen 15:18 it is “from the river of Egypt to the great river, the river Euphrates, the land of the Ken’ites, the Ken’izzites, the Kad’monites, the Hittites, the Per’izzites, the Reph’aim” and so forth.
3.5 If we argue, however, that these covenantal promises are still valid, we must ask the question how and for whom. In short, who can legitimately claim to be Abraham’s descendants and hence heirs to the promises. Since the patriarch is claimed by all three monotheistic religions – Christianity, Islam and Judaism – does it follow that all three are legitimate inheritors of the covenantal promises? Does it matter that the Jewish people were the first to receive the promise? Inter-faith dialogue and understanding has led many Methodists to revise their notion of who is to be included in covenantal relationships with God, but there is still a great deal of work to be done by Methodists in thinking through how those relationships are worked out in the Middle East.

3.6 Lastly, there is the question of possession; what covenants contain and how they should be understood. It sits uncomfortably with many modern Methodists to imagine a God who singles out individuals or groups in order to promise possessions; there is distaste, for example, with a ‘health and wealth gospel’ in most quarters. It seems to conjure up a notion of favouritism, with an image of God dispossessing some peoples in order to grant land to his chosen ones. We would want to reaffirm that at the very heart of the Hebrew Bible’s concept of covenant is the notion of a relationship and with it, a set of responsibilities. We recognize that there is still greater understanding needed on the relationship between the spiritual and the material to ensure that faith is able to express itself in public. Methodists would want to reiterate with Jews and Muslims alike, the central tenet that believers are committed to a life of love in action to all.

3.7 Chief Rabbi Jonathan Sacks quotes 19th century Rabbi Hayyim of Brisk’s definition of a rabbi: ‘To redress the grievances of those who are abandoned and alone, to protect the dignity of the poor, and to save the oppressed from the hands of the oppressor.’ This sums up well the idea of solidarity which, for Methodists, is a deeply covenantal obligation and so we are confronted with Methodists’ relationship with the Christian people of Palestine, the original Christians. Whilst we would not want to suggest that connections with Christian brothers and sisters in the region somehow locates us on one side or the other of the conflict, there is a deep need for Methodists in Britain to acknowledge and affirm the presence of Christians in Palestine and Israel. In speaking of the political situation, by being particularly mindful of the Palestinian Christian community, we will not fall into the trap of portraying the Israeli/Palestinian conflict as one between Muslims and Jews. As befits its location amongst the holy sites of ancient Palestine, this is a Christian community that has existed since the earliest centuries of the Christian church. It is an extraordinarily diverse community with Eastern and Oriental Orthodox, Latin and Uniate Catholics, Anglicans and other Protestants.

3.8 Amongst the challenges faced by this community, however, is its declining numbers. There are currently around 125,000 Palestinian Christians in Israel/Palestine compared to about 400,000 Palestinian Christians who are living outside the country. The number who have emigrated looks set to increase as more and more Christians leave their homes in Bethlehem, Jerusalem, the Galilee and elsewhere. In Bethlehem, for example, an estimated 357 families or 10% of the Christian population left the town between 2000 and 2004. There are thus increasing fears that in the years to come, the Holy Land could see an “emptying” of Christians. The plea of the Christian community in Israel/Palestine is for visibility with Western Christians and to have their voice heard. As such, one of their most significant recent moves has been the publication in December 2009 of their Kairos Document – a cry for justice for Palestinian Christians under Occupation. How do we address such concerns?

---

7 See for example, Deut 15:4-11; 30:1-1:20; Isaiah 42:1-9
11 A moment of truth: a word of faith and hope from the heart of Palestinian suffering, Dec 2009
3.9 British Methodists now require a deepening relationship with Palestinian Christians in order, among other things, to hear the various theological voices being articulated by the Churches of the Holy Land. These include, for example, the liberation perspectives offered by the Sabeel Centre\textsuperscript{12} as well as the call for justice and peace in the Kairos Document.

3.10 Whilst the term ‘Holy Land’ is one used today to avoid complex and difficult political realities, it also arises from the previous discussion on covenant and God’s promises to his people. Whilst many world faiths and Christian denominations have developed an idea of a holy space, this notion is one that has not been well developed in Methodism. The ground on which chapels stand, for example is not traditionally consecrated and there is not even the idea of orientation for worship (i.e. ensuring worshippers face in a particular direction of the compass). Added to this is Methodism’s own understanding of itself as a movement and the importance of itinerancy in the conduct of ministry. This accords with the constant theme in both Hebrew Bible and New Testament of faithful wandering and of the image of a pilgrim God and the Son of Man with ‘nowhere to lay his head’ (Luke 9:58). This does not mean that Methodist theology has nothing to say about holiness or the Holy Land – quite the opposite.

3.11 At the very core of Wesleyan thinking lies the doctrine of Christian perfection, growth in holiness. For John Wesley, this doctrine had two aspects, the personal and the social. For individuals, holiness was the personal pursuit of entire sanctification achieved through cooperation with the Holy Spirit and resulting in perfect love. For John, this was achievable and demonstrable in this life, for Charles, only theoretically possible. But, in Wesley’s words, ‘there is no holiness but social holiness’\textsuperscript{13}, an understanding that the quest for personal holiness was only achievable in concert with others, and that personal holiness manifested itself in social justice. In other words, holiness in Wesleyan understanding is about relationships and structures built on love in action which is justice. Given that understanding, for a land to be called holy by Methodists, it would have to exhibit civil and political institutions that delivered justice and nurtured human flourishing for all its residents. In this sense, all land and no land can be marked out as a ‘Holy Land’.

3.12 In Rowan Williams’ paper, Holy Land and Holy People, given in 2004, reference is made to the idea of a paradigm nation, where the Biblical People of God are given a homeland in order to better facilitate the promotion of a community life defined by wisdom and justice\textsuperscript{14}. For Williams, the homeland is not an end in itself, but is seen as necessary for wisdom and justice to flourish. Thus, Israel’s vocation as the paradigm nation, revealing to the rest of humanity how the divine will is to be fulfilled, can be pursued. This accords with Wesleyan understandings of land, namely that land can be no more than the space in which the vocation is practised. Given this understanding, the modern State of Israel, if it claims also to be the homeland for the ancient Jewish People of God, must take seriously this vocation as the paradigm nation where justice and wisdom are seen to be done.

3.13 For Methodists, there are many issues that need further theological reflection. Given, for example, that Methodist theology often prides itself in its grounding in reality, how then do Methodists speak of the political situation in the Middle East in meaningful ways, particularly in conversation with other faiths? In Methodist theology and practice, there is an ongoing commitment to holding in creative tension prophetic witness and pastoral engagement. In the context of the Middle East, every uttered word is analysed and dissected for hidden meaning and potential bias. Methodists need to think carefully about


\textsuperscript{14} See also Rowan Williams’ Lecture to the 5th International Sabeel Conference “Holy Land and Holy People” in Jerusalem in 2004, http://www.archbishopofcanterbury.org/1175 (Accessed 7th January 2010)
the words they use and the range of meanings they hold if they are to maintain both prophetic and pastoral dimensions in their engagement with the parties in the region and their supporters in Britain.

3.14 International law and human rights have come to the fore in the debate around Israel and Palestine. Whilst it must be acknowledged that the Universal Declaration of Human Rights, the UN Charter and the Geneva Conventions, as the basis of humanitarian law, have strong Judeo-Christian roots, there is still theological work to be done as to how such law is interpreted and implemented. British Methodists would do well to listen to the perspectives of Palestinian Christian theologians in how they respond to questions of national sovereignty and humanitarian intervention, the rights of minorities and the place of individuals in a wider community.

3.15 This report does not have sufficient space to explore fully the place of Christian Zionism in the ongoing problems of the Middle East. It is certainly the case that the huge majority of the pro-Israel lobby in the US is made up of Christians, many of whom interpret the apocalyptic writings in Scripture to mean that the modern State of Israel and its government must be held above criticism whatever policy is enacted. From time to time, the Methodist Conference has undertaken critical study in order to determine whether certain beliefs are acceptably held by Methodist members. The two areas of Zionism and Christian Zionism require exploration.

3.16 Methodists often demand practical outcomes from theological reflection and it is the hope of the authors of this report to inspire action from local Methodist members and congregations. Deriving from our responsibilities as people of Covenant, Methodists are spurred to a life of love in action, the Christian definition of justice. For that reason, Methodists will always seek to find themselves on the side of the oppressed and not the oppressor, and will commit themselves both to practical action to alleviate the worst aspects of injustice and poverty and to campaigning to overcome structures that perpetuate profound inequalities and loss of human dignity. Despite the complexities, Methodists are compelled to engage with the situation as it is, to bring compassion, a willingness to listen and learn from the stories of all those involved and a burning passion for the sort of justice on which lasting peace can be built. This report began with Jesus weeping over the city of Jerusalem. Whilst recognising again the significance of the Holy City for Jews, Christians and Muslims, we also affirm the pilgrim path to the New Jerusalem and pray that our strivings for people and justice will find their fulfilment in the Heavenly City.

4. Historical background

4.1 An understanding of the Israeli/Palestinian conflict requires an understanding of its history. In giving an account of this, the Working Party recognises that all communities in Israel/Palestine that have been involved in it have suffered. All, for diverse reasons, have perceived themselves as victims, resulting in competing narratives of suffering and vicious cycles of attack and retaliation. No historical account can do justice to this. The Working Party, therefore, offers what follows with deep sorrow at the tragedy that has unfolded in this area of the world and with awareness of its complexity.

4.2 Prior to 1917
4.2.1 In 1856, on the eve of the Crimean War, the population of the current area of Israel/Palestine was around 500,000. They were Arabic speaking and mainly Muslim but around 60,000 were Christians from a variety of different denominations, whilst around 20,000 were Jews. In addition, there were around 50,000 soldiers from the Ottoman

Empire and about 10,000 Europeans. The territory had been a part of the Ottoman Empire for several centuries and in the thousand years prior to this, it had been variously controlled by Egyptian Mamluks, successors of the Kurdish King Saladin, European Crusaders and the Caliphs of the Abbasid Islamic Caliphate.\textsuperscript{16, 17} The Israel of the Bible had long since disappeared and instead, an established society of Arab peoples had developed in the region.

4.2.2 The roots of the political philosophy of Zionism – the idea that the Jewish people should have a homeland of their own – were largely a development from 19\textsuperscript{th} century Europe\textsuperscript{18}. It developed out of the persecution of the Jews particularly in Eastern Europe\textsuperscript{19, 20}, Jewish people had been harshly persecuted in many parts of Europe throughout the previous centuries, however, latterly, pogroms against the Jews in Russia, following the assassination of Tsar Alexander I in 1881, had led to the emigration of Jews to both the United States and one of the earliest modern Jewish migrations to Palestine. The views of Christian Zionists from both the UK and US, such as John Nelson Darby, Lord Shaftsbury and others who noted the Biblical roots of Judaism in Palestine, were also influential\textsuperscript{21}. Such Christian Zionists followed the idea of Dispensationalism, whereby there are believed to be seven periods of time during which humanity has or will be tested according to some specific revelation of God, Israel and the Church are separate and the millennium will be the culmination of God’s purposes for Israel\textsuperscript{22}.

4.2.3 The father of modern political Zionism is considered to be Theodor Herzl. Following the anti-Semitism unleashed by the notorious Dreyfus trial in France, Herzl published his book, “The Jewish State” in 1896\textsuperscript{23}. In it, he argued that it was impossible that the Jews could ever be assimilated into European society, and that therefore, the only sure way in which the Jewish people could be protected was to establish their own state. Herzl investigated various locations, including Argentina, Uganda, the Sinai Peninsula and Palestine\textsuperscript{24}.

4.2.4 Following Herzl’s death in 1904, established Zionist opinion settled on Palestine. Meanwhile, as Jewish emigration continued, tensions arose with the local Arab population, with some Arab leaders sensing problems in the future and even making representations to their Turkish masters to limit Jewish immigration\textsuperscript{25, 26}. Zionist leaders such as the British Chemist Chaim Weizmann skilfully lobbied British political leaders to support Jewish self-determination in Palestine and during the height of the First World War, as British troops were about to enter Ottoman Jerusalem, a declaration was issued by the British Foreign Secretary Arthur Balfour promising a national home to the Jewish people, with the proviso being that “nothing shall be done which may prejudice the civil

\textsuperscript{17} Islam, A Short History, Karen Armstrong, Phoenix, 2002, ISBN 978-1-84212-583-0
\textsuperscript{20} Marc Saperstein, 1989, Moments of Crisis in Jewish-Christian Relations, London: SCM Press
\textsuperscript{22} Idid, pg 266
\textsuperscript{23} The Jewish State, Theodor Herzl, 1896
\textsuperscript{24} Israel and Palestine, Competing Histories, Mike Berry and Greg Philo, 2004, Pluto Press, ISBN 978-0-7453-2566-0, pg 3,4
\textsuperscript{26} Israel and Palestine, Competing Histories, Mike Berry and Greg Philo, 2004, Pluto Press, ISBN 978-0-7453-2566-0, pg 5,6
and national rights of existing non-Jewish communities in Palestine”. This declaration was received to widespread rejoicing in many Jewish communities, despite the fact that only 11% of Palestine’s population was by then Jewish. It was viewed with great concern by Sharif Hussein and Arab leaders, who thought the British had promised them independence in the area as a reward for joining the British side of the First World War against their common Turkish enemy. It also appeared to contradict the terms of the Sykes-Picot agreement of 1918 by which the British agreed to divide the Near East into spheres of influence with the French.

4.3 1917 to 1947

4.3.1 Following the First World War, the territory of Palestine and Trans-Jordan was designated by the League of Nations as a mandate of the British. The policy of the British Government navigated between the competing demands of their old Arab allies of the First World War and the Zionist sympathies of many leading politicians. Thus, Abdullah, a son of Sharif Hussein, was supported as Emir of Transjordan, whilst Jewish immigration into Palestine and the economic development of the Jewish community was allowed to continue. Assurances were given by the British Government to the Palestinian community that this support for Jewish immigration would not be allowed to jeopardise their rights. However, the growth of the Jewish community inevitably raised fears amongst the Palestinians that their own rights would become compromised. Such fears were not assuaged by the development of the Revisionist Zionist ideas of Ze’ev Jabotinsky. In 1923, he wrote of the need for “an iron wall which they (the Arabs) will be powerless to break down.” The aim would be to break the Arab will to resist the Zionist project to establish Jewish political domination in Palestine. The Revisionist Zionist ideas of Jabotinsky were to become highly influential amongst leaders of the later Israeli Likud party.

4.3.2 The increased tensions with the native Arab population in Palestine resulted in a 1922 British White Paper designed to soothe Arab fears. Jewish immigration nevertheless continued, and in 1937, in the face of a full scale Arab revolt, the Peel Commission proposed that 20% of Palestine, in the north-west, should become a Jewish state with 80% designated for the Arabs. These plans were never carried through and by 1939, the Arab revolt had been suppressed by the British. The Arab Revolt, 1936-39, had begun with a 6 month long General Strike which up to that time was the longest anti-colonial strike in history. The nationwide insurrection which followed was put down with brutal ferocity by British forces during which 5000 Palestinians were killed and 10,000 wounded. Many others were forced to flee or went into exile. In an Arab population of around one million, this represented 10% of all adult males. The revolt expressed not only the strong will of the Palestinian people for self-determination, but revealed the deep divisions within their leadership. Rashid Khalidi says, “… the crushing of the 1936-39 revolt largely determined the outcome of the 1948 war for the Palestinians; the failures of their leadership and the absence of the structures of state, contributed to their military and political defeat in both cases. This heavy legacy affected them significantly thereafter.” A new white paper in 1939, designed to pacify Arab opinion and which aimed to limit
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Jewish immigration has been criticised by many Jews as blocking a key escape route from increasing Nazi terror in Europe. It resulted in growing Jewish paramilitary activity against the British in Palestine.

4.3.3 The catastrophic Jewish experience of the Holocaust in Europe\(^{34}\) gave added strength to the call for a Jewish state in Palestine\(^{35}\). Political pressure had also been growing in both Europe and the US for such a move, with Zionist leaders in the US, for example, issuing the Biltmore Declaration for a Jewish state\(^{36}\). Others, however, such as the British Foreign Secretary, Ernest Bevin, warned that a Jewish state “might prove a constant factor of unrest in the Middle East”\(^{37}\). Meanwhile, Jewish paramilitary organisations continued their violence, with attacks including the bombing of the King David Hotel in Jerusalem\(^{38}\). In 1947, the British decided to end the mandate and hand the question of Palestine over to the United Nations. On 29\(^{th}\) November 1947, the United Nations General Assembly voted to partition the territory, with 56% going to the third of the population who were Jewish\(^{39}\). Jerusalem and Bethlehem were to be international cities. This was accepted by the Jewish leadership of David Ben-Gurion, although not the paramilitary organisations of later Israeli Prime Ministers Menachem Begin and Yitzhak Shamir. The Arab leadership rejected the plan out of hand. \(^{40}\)

4.4 1947 to 1967

4.4.1 The Israeli state was established several months after the UN partition plan, with the plan itself forming the basis of continuing UN negotiations. Meanwhile the plan ignited a civil war, a war which the Israelis call the War of Independence and the Palestinians call al-Nakba, or the Catastrophe. Israelis were well organised and fought hard for their state, with 6000 of their population being killed. Meanwhile, military pressure from the Zionists resulted in the disintegration of the Palestinian society, with 750,000 Palestinians being forced from their country. Around 250,000 Palestinians had already been expelled before the unilateral declaration of the Israeli state by David Ben-Gurion on 14\(^{th}\) May 1948\(^{41}\). Some historians have argued that, whilst not its aim, the Palestinian expulsion was the direct result of the Israeli military Plan Dalet to capture territory\(^{42}\). Others argue it, and massacres such as that at Deir Yassin, were part of a deliberate policy of ethnic cleansing\(^{43}\). Meanwhile, following the Israeli declaration of independence, several Arab countries attempted to intervene in support of the Palestinians, although there is much evidence of secret collusion in the carving up of Palestine between the strongest Arab leader, King Abdullah I of Jordan and Israel\(^{44}\). The result was that Israel secured its independence on 78% of the territory, having expelled around 80% of the Arab population\(^{45}\). In 1949, Israel was admitted to the United Nations, the General Assembly of which also called for the repatriation of the Palestinian refugees back to their homes.
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4.4.2 The formation of the State of Israel was to many Jews, a triumph. There was a massive increase in immigration, including from Arab states. The events leading to Israel’s formation had produced tensions within several Arab states between Jewish and Muslim communities that had previously co-existed for many centuries. In the late 1940s and early 1950s, Israel organised the migration of Jews from Iraq, Yemen, Morocco and other Arab states.46 47

4.4.3 Attempts to reach a resolution to the conflict achieved limited success. Politically, the Palestinian society had been broken, but despite an armistice between Israel and its neighbouring Arab states, no peace treaties were signed. King Abdullah I of Jordan was assassinated by a Palestinian nationalist for his suspected collusion with Israel. An offer of a full peace treaty with Israel by the Syrian leader Husni Zaim was rejected48 49. As the Israeli leader Moshe Sharrett worked to establish trust with the Arab states, elements of the Israeli army attacked Gaza and Qibya in the West Bank50. In 1956, Israel together with the UK and France attacked Egypt and occupied parts of the Sinai desert. US President Eisenhower intervened to order all 3 countries to withdraw. Meanwhile, Israel developed alliances with more distant states such as Turkey, Iran and Ethiopia.

4.4.4 In 1964, the Palestinians finally achieved an independent political voice, through the establishment of the Palestine Liberation Organisation. Tensions between Israel and its neighbours rose in the mid 1960s, partly as a result of cross border raids by both Israelis and Arabs. In 1967 these tensions culminated in the Six Day War in which Israel fought against Egypt, Jordan and Syria. Israel’s overwhelming victory began with their destruction of the Egyptian air force, and culminated in the Occupation of East Jerusalem, the West Bank, the Egyptian Sinai peninsula and the Syrian Golan Heights51. The United Nations Security Council passed Resolution 242 calling for withdrawal from the territory occupied in the war and stating the inadmissibility of the acquisition of territory by force. This Resolution has formed the basis of most subsequent peace negotiations.

4.5 1967 to 1987

4.5.1 Israel’s emphatic victory in the Six Day War and their Occupation of large areas of additional land gave them renewed confidence in the region. It gave them vast political power and credibility as an ally of the Americans. Their capture of East Jerusalem including the old city and its key religious sites was of huge symbolic significance. To the Palestinians and the Arab states, however, the war was a disaster. To Palestinians it was al-Nasqa, or “the setback”. Aside from the loss of territory, all the Arab states and particularly the Hashemite King Hussein of Jordan – who was a descendent of the prophet Mohammed – mourned the loss of Jerusalem, the third holiest city in Islam52. Muslims lost de facto control of their third holiest Mosque – the Al Aqsa Mosque – as well as the Dome of the Rock or Haram-al Sharif. To Christians, the loss of the Church of the Holy Sepulchre was of great significance. Overall, the strategic balance in the region was also transformed.

4.5.2 Contrary to the 4th Geneva Convention, almost from the moment that Israel captured the territories, it began both to build settlements on occupied land and demolish Palestinian infrastructure. Some Israelis justified this in the name of security whilst others claimed that
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the West Bank in particular rightly belonged to Israel. The Israeli Defence minister Yigal Allon also proposed his “Allon Plan” for the retention of key parts of the West Bank. The PLO, meanwhile, launched guerrilla raids into Israel from Jordan. The majority of the Jordanian population were by this time Palestinian refugees. Fearing a takeover of his own country, King Hussein ordered the expulsion of the PLO from Jordan to Lebanon in 1970.

4.5.3 A variety of international peace initiatives were started in these years. The UN Jarring Commission and US Secretary of State William Rogers proposed various means by which withdrawal from occupied territory by Israel could be combined with peace. Secret negotiations between Israel and Jordan also continued with the blessing of Egypt’s President Nasser. Meanwhile frustration at the lack of progress towards peace and ongoing Occupation in Sinai, resulted in a War of Attrition between Israel and Egypt. A full scale war between Egypt, Syria and Israel developed in 1973 and the Palestinian cause became associated in Western minds by a succession of airline hijackings. In 1974, Arab states recognised the PLO as the sole legitimate representative of the Palestinian people in their Rabat Declaration.

4.5.4 The year 1977 saw a right-wing shift in Israeli politics, when Labour, which had governed Israel since independence was replaced by Likud under Menachem Begin. Likud believed in the right of Israel to settle permanently in the West Bank and thus accelerated the pace of illegal settlement building. Meanwhile, President Sadat of Egypt, in a dramatic move, flew to Israel to address the Knesset and call for peace. This initiative resulted in the Camp David accords, Israeli withdrawal from Sinai, a commitment to Israeli negotiations with the Palestinians as equals, and peace with Egypt.

4.5.5 In 1980, Israel formally annexed Jerusalem and the Syrian Golan Heights, actions which were condemned by the UN Security Council in Resolutions 478 and 497. In 1982 following the attempted assassination of the Israeli Ambassador to London, Israel invaded Lebanon in order to expel the PLO. This it succeeded in doing, but around 17,500 people were killed. After the withdrawal of Yasser Arafat and the PLO leadership to Tunis, Phalange militia invaded the Palestinian refugee camps of Sabra and Shatilla and around 1700 people were massacred. An Israeli Commission of Inquiry found that the Israeli commander Ariel Sharon had “personal responsibility” for the massacre. The massacres led to huge protests in Israel calling for peace. In 1986 Israeli power in the region was illustrated by the revelation by Israeli nuclear technician, Mordacai Vanunu, of a large Israeli nuclear arsenal.

4.6 Since 1987

4.6.1 In 1987, following a fatal incident in Gaza, the first Palestinian “Intifada” or “shaking off” began. The causes of this uprising have been attributed to frustration at the 20 year Occupation by Israel. This Intifada, which lasted from 1987 to 1991, was mainly...
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associated with stone throwing and popular unrest within the Occupied territories, together
with a corresponding firm response by Israeli forces. Whilst the Intifada itself was
spontaneous, the PLO rapidly attempted to gain control of it on the ground.

4.6.2 In 1988, following disengagement from the West Bank by Jordan, the Palestinian National
Council, issued its Algiers Declaration recognising Israel, accepting all UN Resolutions dating
back to 1947 and calling for a Palestinian state on 22% of British mandated Palestine. The
year of 1988 also saw initial Israeli backing for the establishment of the Islamic resistance
movement of Hamas. Following the United States led a concerted effort to achieve a peace
settlement in the Middle East. The initial public stage for this was the Madrid Peace Conference of 1991. Peace negotiations continued with hopes of a breakthrough being transformed by the election of Yitzhak Rabin as the Israeli Prime Minister. Following secret talks in Oslo between Israel and the PLO, Rabin and Arafat shook hands on the White House lawn and signed a Declaration of Principles which established an agenda for future peace negotiations. The PLO restated its recognition of Israel whilst Israel recognised the PLO as the sole legitimate representative of the Palestinian people. Fundamental to the negotiations was that the difficult questions of the status of Jerusalem and the right of return for Palestinian refugees should be left until last. Such “final status” issues should be resolved within 5 years, but in interim agreements known as Oslo I and Oslo II, Israel agreed to withdraw from defined areas of the West Bank and Gaza and allow for the creation of a semi-autonomous Palestinian Authority.

4.6.3 In 1995, the peace process suffered a devastating blow with the assassination by an Israeli extremist of Yitzhak Rabin. Meanwhile, Israeli settlements continued to be built in the West Bank, a new Israeli Government was elected that was opposed to the Oslo peace process and violence from extremists on both sides spread mistrust. Frustration at a perceived lack of progress towards peace led to the outbreak of a second Palestinian Intifada in 2000.

4.6.4 The violence that characterised the second Intifada was much greater than that which had been seen before. In total from September 2000 to December 2008, around 5000 Palestinians and 1000 Israelis were killed due to violence. Israeli attacks were noted for their use of F-16s, missiles, military helicopters, armoured bulldozers and army raids in civilian areas. At least until 2006, Palestinian attacks were characterised by suicide bombings in Israeli cities. Meanwhile, in 2004, the US President Bush in an exchange of letters with Israeli Prime Minister Ariel Sharon appeared to recognise Israeli control of the major illegal settlements in the West Bank and East Jerusalem. On the ground, Israel built a wall through the occupied West Bank in a move which was declared illegal by the International Court of Justice. In 2005, as Sharon ordered the withdrawal of settlers from Gaza, the number of West Bank settlers increased. Gaza itself remained under Israeli control. In 2006, the Palestinians elected Hamas as their Government, a move which resulted in Israel, the US and the EU boycotting the Palestinian Authority. In 2007, a short lived unity Government between Hamas and Fatah dissolved in a brief civil war, resulting in Hamas taking charge in Gaza and Fatah in the West Bank. Israel immediately strengthened
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its siege of Gaza preventing many supplies from reaching the territory\textsuperscript{71}. In December 2008, tensions between Israel and Hamas resulted in war during which around 1400 Palestinians and 13 Israelis died\textsuperscript{72}. Throughout all this time, poverty and unemployment within the Palestinian territories increased, increasing numbers of Israeli settlers moved into the territories and the prospects for peace with justice seemed ever more remote. In addition, the needs of the millions of Palestinian refugees had still not been addressed. The stipulation in Arthur Balfour’s 1917 declaration, that the formation of a Jewish state should do nothing to prejudice the rights of the existing communities in the region, had yet to be fulfilled. As the Palestinian-American author and academic Edward Said once stated, the Palestinians remained the “victims of the victims”,\textsuperscript{73}

5 The Israeli Military Occupation of Palestinian Territories

5.1 The Meaning of Occupation

‘When I lived in Bethlehem I understood what I had always known. Jesus was born, lived and died under Occupation and this is what it is like’.

(Rev. Pat Woods, US Lutheran minister, EAPPI volunteer)

\textbf{Figure 1:} The reduction of the territory available to the Palestinians over time\textsuperscript{74}.

\textsuperscript{71} The Gaza Strip: A Humanitarian Implosion, 2008, Amnesty International, Christian Aid, Cafod, Care, Medicins du Monde UK, Oxfam, Save the Children UK, Trocaire


\textsuperscript{74} © Map Source: PalMap – GSE Copyright April 2005 Map source, designer and publisher: PalMap / Good Shepherd Engineering and Computing
5.1.1 As we have seen, the Occupied Palestinian Territories are those captured by Israel from Egypt, Jordan and Syria, following the war of June 1967. They consist of the West Bank of the Jordan River, East Jerusalem, Gaza and the Golan Heights. The Sinai Peninsula was handed back to Egypt in 1982. Although belligerent Occupation is not unlawful per se, it is intended to be a temporary state of affairs. International law prohibits the unilateral annexation or permanent acquisition of territory as a result of the threat or use of force. United Nations Security Council Resolution 242 (22/11/1967) calls for the withdrawal of Israeli armed forces from territory occupied in 1967; for recognition of the sovereign territorial integrity and political independence of every state in the area and the right to live in peace with secure, recognized boundaries. UN General Assembly Resolution 194 (11/12/1948) concerns the fate of the 750,000 Palestinians made refugees in 1948 in relation to the rights of all displaced people under the International Charter of Human Rights. It resolves that ‘the refugees wishing to return to their homes and live at peace with their neighbours should be permitted to do so at the earliest practicable date’. Up to the present time, none of those refugee families has been permitted to return. Most still live in camps located in Lebanon, Jordan and the West Bank itself. With every passing day, the Occupation is assuming a semblance of permanence, significantly reducing the possibility of a viable Palestinian state.

Testimony: Religious Israeli settler from East Jerusalem

The majority of Israeli settlers in the West Bank, for example in settlements such as Efrat and Maale Adumim, are economic settlers. They have been attracted to live in the settlements due to Government housing subsidies, more attractive neighbourhoods and for similar reasons. However, during my time in Jerusalem in 2007, I spoke to a religious Israeli settler living in Occupied East Jerusalem. His reason for living in one of the settlements was due to his ideology.

His parents had come to Israel from England in 1969, but he himself had been born on a Kibbutz. He spoke of his love of Jerusalem, his belief that the Messiah will come to Jerusalem and how he believes that Jerusalem is the centre of the Jewish nation. King David himself used to live in Jerusalem 3000 years ago and it was clear to him that it belonged to the Jews in the Bible. He believes that it is important that what he described as “Arab squatters” be removed from “Jewish land” in Jerusalem and he is very active in helping with this. He was clear that in his opinion, there is no Occupation, the Palestinians have no rights to the land and rather that the Palestinian state lies in neighbouring Jordan. He stated that, in his opinion, God will at some point in time, destroy the Muslim Al Aqsa Mosque in Jerusalem.

(Personal communication, Stephen Leah, during visit to Jerusalem with the Israeli Committee Against House Demolitions)

5.2 The Face of Occupation

(a) Loss of Freedom and Access: Checkpoints and closures

‘Checkpoints do not stand alone ... they are the tip of the iceberg’

(Israeli women’s peace group, Machsom Watch)

5.2.1 According to UNOCHA* at the end of October 2009 there were a total of 578 obstacles inside the West Bank (i.e. excluding the Green Line crossings), including 69 permanently staffed checkpoints and 488 unstaffed obstacles, (roadblocks, earth mounds, earth walls, road barriers, road gates and trenches). Of the 69 permanent checkpoints, 37 are located
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*West Bank movement and access update, November 2009, UNOCHA* (United Nations Office for the Co-ordination of Humanitarian Affairs)
along the separation barrier. All this, in a land area about the size of Wales. For Palestinians, the resulting restrictions on movement are extremely severe. Access to essential medical services is difficult; periods of waiting at checkpoints can stretch to two hours, sometimes more; schooling and work patterns are disrupted. When this happens on a regular basis it amounts to a deep humiliation and the morale of the people is weakened. However, it is important to understand that physical restrictions on movement are only one aspect of the problem. The administrative obstacles are one of the most potent means of restricting Palestinian movement and access. For instance, in 1967 the government of Israel (GOI) carried out a census of Palestinian residents of the West Bank and Gaza and only those in the country at that time were included as legal residents and provided with ID cards. From that time the GOI has retained full control of the population registry, despite the fact that the Oslo accords required that it transfer this control to the Palestinian Authority (PA). Under Occupation, administrative restrictions on movement are defined and implemented by Orders of the Military Commander of the West Bank. These are arbitrary and highly unpredictable. In addition to holding ID cards, Palestinians are often required to obtain permits for nearly all movement outside of their greater municipal area. Around Nablus there have been periods when GOI instituted a ban on all males between 13 and 35 from leaving the area. This permit regime also keeps families apart; a Palestinian whose wife and family are resident in Jerusalem does not have the automatic right to co-habit, but, if their application is granted, it is the “special benevolent act of the Israeli authorities.” The PA ministry of Civil Affairs estimates that there have been some 120,000 applications for family re-unification since 2000; only those classified as ‘exceptional humanitarian cases’ have been granted. Permits are temporary and must be renewed on a regular basis.
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### Story: Diary of Enid Gordon, an Ecumenical Accompanier in Bethlehem

**Monday 26th January 2009** Checkpoint in the morning. Queue opened at 5.10am. Called the army humanitarian hotline. Turbulence in the queue because the people with -00 permits were not being let through the car gate and had to pass through the pedestrian gate. The people in the line got upset. Very crowded in the first queue. Those who joined the line at 4.30 were not in the metal detector section until 6.40 – a wait of 2 hours 10 minutes. The first metal detector wasn’t working, the third was working and people were passing through normally but everyone who passed through the second detector had to go into the inspection room and wait their turn. The turnstile was kept closed, there was severe overcrowding in the area and much disquiet. All this meant that those who chose this queue were not through to the final section until 7am and others, 7.20, even though they had been queuing since 4.30. We contacted the army commander who went through to the troubled area. After that things were better but the final section was not clear of the crowds until 8.15 am.

**Thursday 29th January 2009** At the ID booths, two men with permits to work in Jerusalem were bringing children with appointments at the eye hospital in Jerusalem. They were not let through, even though they had a letter showing the time and place of the appointment because they didn’t have a special permit to visit the hospital. I phoned the humanitarian hotline asking if they could be let through but to no avail.

**NB** – Jerusalem is only five miles from Bethlehem.
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5.2.2 The intricate, pervasive system of checkpoints and barriers which dominate the lives of the majority of Palestinians means that they have little or no control over the essential transactions of everyday life. Access to water for domestic and agricultural use is determined by the GOI. Per capita water consumption for household and urban use in Palestinian communities averages around 60 litres a day compared to the World Health Organisation recommended minimal amount of 100 litres per person. By comparison, an Israeli citizen consumes some 280 litres a day – almost 5 times more. As mentioned above, the arbitrary nature of closures also constitutes a major problem in terms of trade and the movements of goods. Holding a valid permit does not necessarily guarantee the ability to cross a checkpoint. Soldiers determine whether or not a permit is valid. Requirements can be changed without notice at permanent and/or ‘flying’ checkpoints and comprehensive closures banning all movement can be imposed at any time. According to B’tselem, in 2006 there were 78 such days and there are typically blanket closures during public holidays in Israel. A World Bank report states, ‘unsurprisingly these restrictions make the movement of people and goods more expensive, inefficient and unpredictable and have a chilling effect on economic activity. Beyond the personal hardship an economy cannot run effectively if there is significant uncertainty about the ability of workers to reach their jobs, goods reaching their markets or entrepreneurs being present to manage their place of business’.

(b) Loss of land: Settlements

‘Woe betide those who add house to house and join field to field until everyone else is displaced and you are left as sole inhabitants of the countryside.’

(Isaiah of Jerusalem, chapter 5 verse 8)

5.2.3 Since the creation of the State of Israel in 1948, Palestinians have seen more and more of their land disappear. The territory allocated by the UN proposal for a Palestinian state has been systematically confiscated, i.e., stolen, and built upon by Israeli settlers. This process has intensified since 1967. There are currently 129 settlements with a combined population approaching 450,000, over half of whom live in East Jerusalem. In addition, there are roughly 100 illegal “outposts” which have been established with some degree of Israeli government support. The settlements are linked by a network of roads which are available for use by Jewish Israelis only. A majority of the settlers are “economic”, induced to migrate to Israel by generous financial and property concessions; others are religious, highly motivated and fiercely ideological. There are many well-documented instances of attacks on Palestinian farmers by these settlers. Violence includes destruction of crops as well as attacks against the person. In more than 90% of cases monitored by Yesh Din where investigations of settler violence were conducted the case was closed without any indictment. The settlements have spawned a landscape referred to as “Swiss cheese”, in which Palestinian communities appear as ‘Bantustans’ or ‘cantons’, effectively isolated from each other. The settlements within the West Bank disrupt the normal functioning of the Palestinian economy by restricting Palestinian access to major roads and by the erection of the ‘separation barrier’ which will be considered later.

5.2.4 It needs to be stressed that the settlements are illegal under international law, over one-third having been built on Palestinian privately owned land. Their existence and growth constitutes a major obstacle to a just and sustainable peace in the region.
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(c) Loss of Homes: demolitions and evictions

‘... nothing in my Israeli experience could make sense of it. ... I knew Israel’s Occupation policies had little to do with security. But pursuing my own affairs, I did what other Israelis do. I didn’t inquire too closely, I didn’t cross the membrane’

(Jeff Halper, An Israeli in Palestine p. 36)

5.2.5 It is estimated by ICAHD that since 1967, 24,167 Palestinian homes have been demolished in the Occupied territories. In East Jerusalem alone there were 670 house demolitions between 1994 and 2006. An indication of the acceleration of this policy is that almost two-thirds of these orders were carried out in the last 4 years of that period (402). As an intrinsic aspect of the same policy, increasing numbers of Palestinian families in East Jerusalem have been evicted from their homes during the last decade.

5.2.6 In addition, during Operation Cast Lead and the bombardment of Gaza in December/January 2008/9 over 4,000 homes were destroyed. Most of these have not been re-built due to the Israeli military embargo on building materials.

**Story: Salim and Arabiya Shawamreh**

Salim and his wife Arabiya bought a plot of land in a village outside Jerusalem and applied for a building permit. It was turned down on the grounds that it was ‘zoned agricultural land’. However there were no crops being grown on this rocky screed at all. He applied again (each application costs $5000); this time it was turned down because ‘the land is too steep’, notwithstanding the existence of dwellings on surrounding hills, built on equally steep slopes.
He applied again, only to be told that there were ‘missing signatures from the previous owners on the deed’, so after the Occupation authorities refused to say which signatures they required, Salim produced the signatures of hundreds of people and invited them to pick the two they needed. Again the application was refused. With a growing family to house and the Oslo peace process under way, it seemed highly probable that a Palestinian state would emerge. Salim took the risk and decided to build the house. In this respect he was acting like many at the time. However on 9th July 1998 his home was surrounded by 200 soldiers and he was told, ‘you have fifteen minutes to leave.’ Salim was driven from the house and beaten. Arabiya locked the door to try to protect the children. She was dragged from the house by one of the soldiers. The children fled, one of whom was missing for seven hours.

ICAHD were determined to rebuild the Shawamreh home. With the help of Israeli, Palestinian and international volunteers the house was re-built. And demolished again in August 1998 and re-built. And demolished again in 2001. It was rebuilt and demolished for the fourth time in 2003. With the family unable to cope anymore with the trauma, Beit Arabiya was re-built again as an international centre for peace and reconciliation. So far it remains standing. It is dedicated to the memory of Rachel Corrie a 23 year-old American activist, crushed by an Israeli bulldozer in Gaza whilst protecting the home of a Palestinian woman and three children, and to Nuha Sweidan who was nine months pregnant when she was killed in her own home some time earlier in similar circumstances. (Source: Israeli Committee Against House Demolitions)

Story: the Hanoun and Al Ghawi families

They came for them at dawn on a Sunday in early August 2009, heavily armed police wearing helmets and riot shields broke down the metal doors of two houses in the East Jerusalem neighbourhood of Sheikh Jarrah and dragged two Palestinian families out onto the streets. It was over in minutes, the Hanoun and Al Ghawi families evicted from what had been their homes for the past five decades and with their possessions thrown onto the pavement before the sun had fully risen. Within hours, young religious Israeli settlers had been moved in guarded by dozens of armed police and their own private armed security guards. Both families sleep on mattresses on the street outside their homes, and spend the day sitting in the shade watching settlers walk in and out of their front doors. ‘I don’t know how they sleep’, said Maher Hanoun, 51. ‘we were here in our house legally ... that is the important point’.

‘I am dying a hundred times a day’ said Nasser Al Ghawi. ‘This is my house, this is what is left of my furniture. I have nowhere else to go. This is where I was born.’

Both the Hanouns and the Al Ghawis were among 28 Palestinian families who were given houses in Sheikh Jarrah, then under Jordanian control having become refugees after 1948. Ir Amin, an Israeli organization that works for a more equitable Jerusalem says, ‘the State of Israel needs to re-consider the consequences of this process which allows Jews to claim ownership of property that may have belonged to them pre-1948 but prevents the same claims from being realised by Palestinian residents ... this could place the State of Israel in an impossible position’. Another Sheikh Jarrah family facing possible eviction says, ‘they want to build a wall of settlers and eventually no Arabs will be allowed through.’ (Source: Guardian 25th August 2009)

(d) The Separation Barrier

‘For Jabotinsky the Iron Wall was a metaphor. In the crude hands of Sharon and his colleagues this metaphor is being metamorphosed into a monstrous physical reality’

(The war of the Israeli historians, by Avi Shlaim, p.9)

5.2.7 Epitomising the increasingly desperate plight of the Palestinian people and the Israeli obsession with security, this barrier, begun in 2002, will, on completion, cover a distance of 702 km – 4 times as long and twice as high as the Berlin Wall. It is described in a recent
UNOCHA report as consisting of ‘fences, ditches, razor wire, groomed sand paths, an electronic monitoring system, patrol roads and a buffer zone.’ Around 45 km of the constructed barrier consists of a 8-9 metre high concrete wall particularly in urban areas.\(^8\) Far from following the Armistice (UN Green) Line it bites deep into Palestinian territory sometimes by several kilometres. It not only separates Israelis from Palestinians, but Palestinians from family members and friends. In rural areas it effectively cuts them off from their olive trees and fruit/vegetable plantations. With the construction of the barrier, GOI has declared the land in between the route of the barrier itself and the Green Line a “closed area” for an indefinite period of time by the Israeli military. This ‘seam zone’ accounts for roughly 8.5% of the territory of the West Bank. About 50,000 Palestinians in 38 villages and towns will find themselves in the seam zone once the barrier is completed. Furthermore, approximately half a million Palestinians live within 1km of the barrier on its eastern side and many of these people have been negatively affected by a structure that cuts through properties, economic networks, service access routes and neighbourhoods.\(^8\)

5.2.8 Palestinians who find themselves residents of the seam zone are required to apply for a permit (permanent resident ID) from the Civil Administration in order to remain in their homes and gain access to their property. Even if Palestinians have the required permit the barrier acts as a significant physical hindrance to movement, because passage is only available via gates operated by the IDF often on a temporary, ad hoc basis. In a recent UNOCHA report\(^9\) it was estimated that more than 50% of communities surveyed no longer had direct, regular access to their land and that roughly 60% of families owning land in the seam zone area of the northern West Bank could no longer access it because they were refused permits by the GOI. These findings were re-enforced by another recent study funded by the New Israel Fund and the British Embassy in Tel Aviv and conducted by the Israeli organization Bimkom\(^9\) which stated, ‘the route of the Separation Barrier ... totally ignores the daily needs of the Palestinian population and is focussed almost exclusively on the desire to maintain the fabric of life of Israeli settlers’. It also states that it is causing serious damage to residents’ healthcare needs and undermining social and family life.

5.2.9 On 9\(^\text{th}\) July 2004 the International Court of Justice declared the separation barrier illegal and called on Israel (the Occupying Power) to ‘cease construction, dismantle constructed parts and provide reparation to those materially damaged by the construction.’\(^9\)

---

**Story: Monitoring the barrier gates; Extract from the weekly log of Ecumenical Accompaniers in Jayyous and Falamya, October 2008**

**Thursday, Jayyous, South Gate.** We arrived at 7.50am. Many men, women and donkeys were waiting. The gate was opened on time. The control was completed in ten minutes but two men were denied access to their land very close to the gate. They had land also in the Falamya district with permits only valid for that gate. The DCL (Israeli District Co-ordination Liaison Office) will only accept one permit per person, per agricultural gate. The men had previous experience of some soldiers allowing them to pass without permits for this gate. One of the men showed us that he had a valid working permit to go to Tel Aviv but could not go to his land 250 metres on the other side of the South Gate. To walk from Falamya Gate to the land close to this gate would take three hours each way. He was not allowed to walk along the patrol road parallel to the wall but was forced to walk across country on agricultural tracks.

---

\(^8\) Five years after the International Court of Justice advisory opinion (UNOCHA July 2009)
\(^9\) Movement and access restrictions in the West Bank: World Bank 2007 p.18
\(^9\) OCHA special focus Occupied Palestinian Territories, November 2006
\(^9\) MacIntyre D. & Penketh Anne, Independent Newspaper, 23rd January 2007
\(^9\) ICJ, Legal consequences of construction of the Wall in OPT’s, para 141
Collective Punishment and Administrative Detention

5.2.10 Two other aspects of the Occupation also form a vital part of what ICAHD’s Jeff Halper calls ‘the matrix of control’. Collective punishment is the disproportionate response to acts of violence in which whole families or communities are singled out to be “punished”. An outstanding example of this was the Israeli bombardment of Gaza at the end of 2008 and the continued blockade.

5.2.11 Administrative detention is the practice by which the state can detain civilians without charge or trial, such detention being by administrative order (usually by the Israeli military), rather than by judicial decree. As at February 2009 Israel was holding more than 560 Palestinians in administrative detention facilities run by the Israeli Prison Service (IPS)93. Many of those detained are young people who can be held up to six months or longer and the order can be arbitrarily extended without limit. Some have been kept for years, often without knowing what crime they are charged with. Torture and extreme harassment frequently occurs during these periods of detention. Although International Law authorizes the practice of administrative detention under rigid rules for state security reasons, it should be noted that Israel has never defined the criteria for what constitutes ‘state security’. The practice has come under severe criticism by Amnesty International, who believe that it breaches Article 9 of the International Covenant on Civil and Political Rights (ICCPR) which makes clear that ‘no-one should be subjected to arbitrary detention; deprivation of liberty must be based on grounds and procedures established by law’.

93 Sahar Francis, lawyer and director of Adameer Centre (Prison Support and HR Association)
5.2.12 In total, many thousands of Palestinians are currently detained by Israel. The Israeli Information Centre for Human Rights in the Occupied Territories, B’tselem, reported that in November 2009, 296 children below the age of 18 were detained. After the elections of 2006, a total of 47 Palestinian Parliamentarians were detained including the Speaker of the Parliament, Aziz Dweik. Mr Dweik, who was in bad health, was only released in 2009.

(f) The situation in Gaza

5.2.13 Despite the withdrawal of Israeli settlers from Gaza in 2005, the territory’s borders, airspace, coastline, access to imports, electromagnetic sphere and population registry remain under the control of Israel and thus, according to bodies such as the Red Cross, the territory remains under Occupation. In addition, whilst around 8000 settlers were withdrawn from Gaza, in the following year a total of 12,000 Israelis settled in the Occupied West Bank.

5.2.14 In 2006, the Islamic resistance movement of Hamas were the clear winners in the Palestinian elections. Fatah, the party that had been led by Yasser Arafat and which had for many years been dominant in Palestinian politics, was beaten into second place. Consequently, power within the Palestinian Authority was transferred to Hamas and its new Prime Minister, Ismail Haniyeh. Despite the certification by international observers that the election result was free and fair, it was not, however, accepted by Israel or other key powers such as the United States and European Union. Due to the leadership of Hamas in Government, these powers imposed severe financial and other sanctions on the

---

Small cracks of hope; bereaved families

During my time in Jerusalem as an Ecumenical Accompanier our team met two remarkable men, Avner, an Israeli Jew, and Ibrahim a young Palestinian from Bethlehem. Avner had served in the Israeli army, left in 1973, married and raised his family in Jerusalem. In 1996 his 14 year old daughter was killed in a suicide attack in the west of the city. He spoke of his grief, anger and despair. Ibrahim was brought up in a Bethlehem refugee camp where 15 members of his family lived in one room and shared the same bathroom. At the beginning of the second intifada his father was returning to Bethlehem when he was shot by an IDF sniper, for no other reason that he was in the wrong place at the wrong time. Ibrahim said: ‘I knew I had a choice – either to continue this cycle of violence or seek another way’. Avner and Ibrahim were contacted by the bereaved families’ circle, which was founded to bring people together from both sides of the conflict … A miracle that those two men were together in the same room and that they work together for reconciliation and peace, within Israeli and Palestinian society and beyond. As they left us Avner put his arm round Ibrahim’s shoulders and said: ‘this man is not just my friend. He is my brother whom I love even more than some members of my own family. Together we are making small cracks of hope in the wall’. (Personal testimony, Warren Bardsley, former Ecumenical Accompanier placed in Jerusalem)

---

Palestinian Authority. Amongst these were the freezing of tax revenues that under a 1994 agreement, Israel had collected on behalf of the Palestinians. Their key objections to Hamas’ presence in Government were that it had not unambiguously accepted Israel’s right to exist, it had not accepted previous agreements with Israel and it refused to finally and completely renounce violence.

5.2.15 In February 2007, the leaderships of Fatah and Hamas met in the city of Mecca to agree a coalition or unity Government. The hope was that with both main parties in power together, and with Fatah’s repeated acceptance of the US, EU and Israeli conditions, the sanctions would be lifted. However, behind the scenes, and with the sanctions still causing desperate poverty in both the West Bank and Gaza, huge tensions were building between Fatah and Hamas. In June 2007, these tensions resulted in violent conflict in Gaza and the de facto takeover of the territory by Hamas. The West Bank was then taken over by Fatah.

5.2.16 Following this takeover of Gaza by Hamas, the Israeli Government imposed severe restrictions on the access of a wide variety of goods and services as well as people to and from the territory. The Methodist Conference of 2008 noted a report by 8 aid and human rights agencies which described conditions in Gaza as a “humanitarian implosion”. At that time, 80% of families in Gaza relied on humanitarian aid compared to 63% in 2006. The blockade was reported to be destroying public service infrastructure in Gaza, hospitals were experiencing power cuts of 8-12 hours per day, emergency medical treatment for residents of Gaza within Israel was being denied and 30-40 million litres of sewage was being discharged every day into the sea due to the lack of fuel to pump or treat human waste. Furthermore, family members within Gaza have effectively been separated from relatives living in the West Bank and elsewhere. Justice Richard Goldstone has stated in his recent report to the United Nations, his view that Israel retains its responsibilities under the Fourth Geneva Convention, to ensure Gaza is adequately supplied with humanitarian resources.

5.2.17 Since that time, the conflict between Israel and Hamas not only resulted, according to Justice Goldstone, in around 1400 Palestinian and 13 Israeli deaths, but also widespread destruction of civilian infrastructure in Gaza. Justice Goldstone, reports, for example, the “deliberate and systematic” targeting of “industrial sites and water installations”, as well as “extensive destruction of houses and private property”.

5.2.18 A year after the start of the Gaza war, a group of agencies including Amnesty International, CAFOD, Christian Aid, Medical Aid for Palestinians, Mercy Corps and Oxfam International, reported that the blockade is still preventing Gaza from rebuilding. Only 41 truckloads of construction materials had, for example, been allowed to enter since January 2009, whereas the task of rebuilding will require thousands of such truckloads. In addition the siege is being tightened further on the Egypt/Gaza border, by the construction of a metal wall designed to prevent smuggling of goods via cross border tunnels.

---

100 Ibid, pg 279
101 Ibid, pg 269
102 Failing Gaza: No rebuilding, no recovery, no more excuses, 2009, Amnesty International et al
103 Egypt building underground metal wall to curb smuggling into Gaza, The Guardian, 10th December 2009
The plight of Palestinian Israelis

5.2.19 Whilst much has been said about the situation faced by Palestinians living within the Occupied Territories, it is important not to neglect the challenges faced by Palestinians living within Israel. The definition of Israel as a “Jewish state”104 has profound implications for the rights of the 1.4 million members of the Arab minority, those Palestinians and their descendants who remained within Israel after its foundation. Thus, for example, the law of the right of return to Israel applies preferentially to Jews, no matter where in the world they were born. Arabs who may have been born within the current recognised boundaries of Israel are not accorded this right. There are large disparities in the provision of public funds between Jewish and Arab communities, and this has affected the level of service in areas such as education, roads and housing105. Discrimination in employment is commonplace. Currently, despite being 20% of the population, only 3.5% of Israeli land is in Arab-Palestinian ownership. Many Bedouin villages in the Negev Desert are “unrecognised” and so remain unconnected to municipal services such as water, and are frequently demolished. The official Israeli Or Commission Report, released in 2003, provides details of some of the discrimination faced by Israeli Arabs106.

104 Declaration of Establishment of State of Israel

---

Story: Dr Izzeldin Abuelaish, and the killing of his daughters

Dr Abuelaish, a physician from Gaza but who worked in the Jewish Hospital in Tel Aviv, was a voice from the war zone, telling Israeli radio and TV in fluent Hebrew about life under fire during Israel’s invasion of Gaza.

During the war, Abuelaish, a 53 year old gynaecologist, widower and father of eight stayed with his extended family in a 5 storey building in Jabalya in Gaza. There were 25 people in all. They were unable to leave for fear of being shot. There was no water, electricity, gas or phone. He charged his mobile phone from a radio battery.

Amongst Abuelaish’s daughters was his eldest, Bisan, 20. After the death from leukaemia of his wife, Bisan was effectively mother to his younger children. She was a senior at Gaza’s Islamic University and had met Israelis at a peace camp in New Mexico. When the fighting in Gaza began, she had received calls from Israeli friends, worried for her safety.

After lunch one day, the doctor’s daughters and 2 cousins went to the girl’s bedroom. Then, the unspeakable happened. An Israeli shell hit the room where his daughters had gathered, killing 3 of them and a cousin.

“I found my daughters in pieces,” he said. His eldest, Bisan, was among the dead.

The Israeli army said they were investigating and claimed they had been fired on from the building “or its vicinity”. But Dr Abuelaish said there were no Hamas fighters in the area and he would not have allowed them near his home.

“Even if someone was firing, why did they shoot only at my daughters’ room?” he asked.

(Chicago Tribune 17th January 2009)
Since the election of the Government of Benjamin Netanyahu in February 2009, the political agenda towards Israel’s Palestinian citizens has worsened and additional discriminatory measures have been proposed.

(i) A bill to prohibit public funding of institutions commemorating the Nakba or “catastrophe”. Whilst the foundation of the state of Israel is a cause of celebration to many Jews, it is a time of mourning for Arabs as they remember their compatriots who were forced to leave their homes in 1948. Earlier drafts of the bill were even more extensive.

(ii) A bill to criminalise the public denial of Israel’s right to exist as a Jewish state—which has the potential to inhibit the peaceful campaign for equal rights for both Jews and Arabs.

(iii) The hard line Yisrael Beiteinu Party, a key member of the Israeli Government’s ruling coalition, has proposed a controversial ‘loyalty Oath’ bill, which would force any who wish to retain citizenship to declare their loyalty to Israel as a Jewish state.

(iv) A bill to make it easier for the Government to revoke the citizenship of Israelis who are deemed to have betrayed the state. The current Interior Minister has said he will use it to revoke citizenship of former MK Azmi Bishara and 34 other Arab citizens.

(v) The continuation of the Citizenship and Entry into Israel law, which denies the rights of Palestinians who reside in the West Bank or Gaza to live in Israel, even though they may marry Israeli citizens. This law disproportionately affects Arab citizens of Israel, who are most likely to be married to Palestinians in the Occupied Territories. The United Nations Committee on the Elimination of Racial Discrimination has stated that this law violates international human rights law.

5.3 Israel and the Cycle of Fear and Mistrust

5.3.1 Why is it that the Israeli government seeks to enforce such harsh conditions as have been described? It is important to understand the fear of many Israelis of what could happen were such strict conditions not in place. The Israeli government has frequently said that such actions as we have described above are for security reasons and are necessary because many Israelis live with a real fear of what Palestinians might do to them. Suicide bombings, bus bombings and rocket attacks have involved indiscriminate attacks on the civilian population.

“I and my friend Avi went on the night of 7 May to go to a club to visit a friend who works there. We got to the club and we didn’t see our friend. After two minutes, I went right, he went left and immediately there was a huge bang and blackness. I must have been five metres from the terrorist who must have followed me in ... I saw people lying right and left ... As I was lying there I just thought of my baby to come. They didn’t tell me that Avi had died til later. They’ll let me out [of hospital] and I’ll go at once to the cemetery to Avi’s grave. Now I just think of my baby to be born; this and my wife give me strength. She comes every day. And my family gives me a lot of support. I still have a lot of pain.”

*T*, a victim of a suicide bombing of a billiard club in Rishon Lezion, near Tel Aviv, on 7 May 2002 in which 16 people were killed.

(Interview with Amnesty International)

---

107 The Political, Legal, Socio-Economic Status of the Palestinian Citizens of Israel 2009, Jafar Farah, Cornerstone Fall 2009, Sabeel Ecumenical Liberation Theology Centre

Such bombings have made government and people very wary of the Palestinian presence. This fear, placed alongside the conservative religious attitude that Jews have a right to ownership of the land presently belonging to Palestinians, as well as an historical political belief going back to Ze’ev Jabotinsky\(^\text{109}\) in their right to the whole of the “Land of Israel”, has given rise to the considerable internal support for the actions the Israeli government has taken. Israel’s occupation of land taken from others by force and demolition of the homes of others in order to build their own has inevitably added to an already tense situation and heightened fears as to what might happen in retaliation. The vicious circle of “tit for tat” actions and responses has at times seemed to have become unbreakable and spirals back through the complex history of relationships summarised earlier in this report.

5.3.2 The Israeli government believes strict security measures are vital; Palestinians find them disproportionate, oppressive and crushing, and point to their own fears of Israeli violence. The Israeli government believes it has a right to keep the settlements and infrastructure that it has established in the West Bank and East Jerusalem\(^\text{110}\); Palestinians believe this prevents the establishment of a viable state for themselves.

5.3.3 Another aspect to the cycle of fear that prevents progress on the road to peace is the mutual fear and mistrust between Israel and other Middle East states. Israelis have legitimate fears, especially of those states that do not recognise Israel’s right to exist, or whose leaders make inflammatory and threatening statements or support violence on Israel from groups such as Hezbollah. On the other hand, on the basis of past experience, e.g. Israeli violence on Lebanon, Syria etc, many Arab states fear what the might of Israel’s military may do to them. The presence of large numbers of Palestinian refugees in neighbouring countries, especially in Lebanon and Jordan, is another injustice that affects the attitudes of all parties. Their presence has been contentious in the life of some host countries, is a running sore for Palestinians and a source of further fear for Israel. Given this set of circumstances, it would be understandable to feel there is no way out, but one must be sought, for the sake of both Israelis and Palestinians.

5.4 The Ending of Occupation

5.4.1 There are two words which Palestinians use to describe their experience during the past 60 years. One is Nakba and the other is nish’ul which means dispossession. The Kairos document produced in December 2009 by Palestinian Church leaders issues a strong call for the ending of the Occupation.

5.4.2 Gila Svirsky, a Jewish Israeli says: ‘The Occupation must end – primarily for the sake of the Palestinians, but also for we Israelis ... it is eating away our soul’\(^\text{111}\)

5.4.3 This goal will not be achieved easily, but it is an essential step towards a just and sustainable peace for both Israelis and Palestinians. The burden of this report is that it has now become an urgent necessity.

6. The Palestine Kairos Document\(^\text{112}\)

6.1 In December 2009 a group of leading Palestinian church leaders issued what some regard as the most significant Christian theological statement on the Israel/Palestine conflict in many years. The document was 18 months in the making and was written for two groups; firstly for Palestinian Christian communities, all of which have adopted it without exception. Secondly, for


\(^{110}\) For example see http://en.netanyahu.org.il/likud/constitution/ (Accessed 8th March 2010)

\(^{111}\) Warren Bardsley, personal communication to Jerusalem EAPPI Team, September 2008

the international Christian community; “a word of gratitude for the solidarity you have shown toward us, in word, deed and in presence among us”, but also as a call to repentance; to re-visit fundamentalist theological positions which support unjust political options”.

6.2 It is, the authors say, “a call to stand alongside the oppressed and preserve the Word of God as good news for all rather than to turn it into a weapon with which to slay the oppressed.” Despite the continuing injustices, the document speaks of “hope which remains strong because it is of God” even when there is no immediate expectation of release, “God, whose love and goodness will be victorious over the evil in which we now find ourselves”. This will mean a recognition that the Occupation is not just wrong, illegal and immoral, but is “a sin against God ... distorting the image of God in the Israeli who has become an Occupier just as it distorts that image in the Palestinian living under Occupation”.

6.3 No legitimate theology can be based on such a distortion. Uniquely, the document calls on the international community to respond by supporting the call from most sections of Palestinian civil society for boycott, divestment and sanctions against “everything produced by the Occupation”, carried out with courage, openly proclaiming that the object is not revenge but rather to put an end to the existing injustice, “liberating both the perpetrators and the victims of injustice, using tools of non-violence, for justice, peace and security for all”. In this enterprise there can be no room for the spirit of sectarianism. The authors of this document recognise that if the moment is to be seized, Jews, Muslims and Christians must learn again to love and live together in a shared environment. In fact two key words in the document are “love” and “resist”. Action must be the non-violent expression of love. Silence, prevarication or fence-sitting are no longer options. To walk with our Palestinian sisters and brothers is to heed the call implicit in this document, a call to repentance for the sin of Occupation and to resist the Occupation in love.

7. Conclusion and Recommendations

7.1 In working towards a statement for the Methodist Church to make on the situation in Israel-Palestine, two things stand out from the work that we have undertaken:

   a) that a greater understanding of the theology needs developing to inform responses to differing attitudes and actions to the Israeli/Palestinian conflict, in order that theological reflection and conversations may form the basis of the attitude of the Methodist Church and Methodist people;

   b) that because of the complex nature of the situation and insufficiency of media reports a full awareness of what is actually happening in Israel/Palestine is largely lacking among many people.

7.2 It is recognised that any statement by the Methodist Church will be somewhat lacking in strength and authority unless these issues are addressed. However we are charged with bringing a proposed statement to Conference and we believe that the previous occasions when Conference has considered issues relating to the situation between Israel and the Palestinian people gives enough background to make such a statement with confidence.

7.3 Our recommendations come from the basis provided by previous Conference decisions and a recognition that the situation in Israel/Palestine has deteriorated and that the suffering of the Palestinian people has become worse. In addition, we have been mindful of relevant statements by our partners in the World Council of Churches as well as recent statements.

---

by Palestinian Christians, including the Kairos report.\textsuperscript{115}

7.4 That, wherever possible, the work of the Methodist Church and Methodists on this issue should be done in partnership with Christians of all denominations, with inter-faith groups and with the Jewish and Muslim communities:

7.4.1 that the Methodist Church should affirm the following:

\begin{quote}
In the belief that peace and reconciliation depend upon justice, fairness and mercy, the Methodist Church has consistently expressed its concern over the illegal Occupation of Palestinian lands by the State of Israel. That Occupation continues not only compounds the state’s illegal and immoral action but also makes any accommodation with the Palestinian people and future peace in the region much less possible. The importance of the Israeli-Palestinian conflict in affecting the peace of the whole Middle East, not to say the peace of the world would indicate the responsibility of other nations not to exacerbate the situation by supplying arms to any party. The Goldstone report into the recent conflict in Gaza indicates the seriousness of actions taken by both sides and requires urgent attention if there is to be any progress towards peace. The proposed visa restrictions which limit entry to Palestinian Authority areas damage Israel’s reputation abroad and the current “Citizenship and Entry into Israel” law restricting the residential rights of married couples will lead to separation of such couples or the withdrawal of citizenship for one partner.
\end{quote}

The Methodist Church, therefore:

\begin{itemize}
\item calls upon the government of Israel to end the Occupation and engage in discussion with Palestinian authorities with a view to withdrawal from settlements, which are illegal under international law, and from other occupied areas including East Jerusalem.
\item reaffirms its opposition to the Separation Wall that divides families, deprives people of agricultural land and denies Palestinians access to health care and repeats the call of the Methodist Conference for the Separation Wall to be pulled down beginning with, as an urgent first step, the very extensive portions located in occupied Palestinian territory, including in and around East Jerusalem.
\item repeats its call for the lifting of the blockade of Gaza which, as highlighted by the UN and aid agencies, is causing great suffering.
\item calls for a full arms embargo against all sides in the conflict.
\item expresses its objection to the proposed visa regulations limiting entry into Palestinian Authority areas.
\item expresses its objection to the current laws restricting residential rights for Palestinians and calls upon the government of Israel to rescind them.
\item expresses its concern that the Goldstone report has been rejected by parties to the Gaza conflict and believes that the recommendations of the report should be implemented in full.
\item calls for Muslims, Christians and Jews, wherever they may live within Israel/Palestine, to be able to freely travel to and peacefully worship at their holy sites.
\item reiterates its deep concern that the rights of Palestinian refugees should be upheld.
\end{itemize}

In a complex and ever developing situation, it is important that opinion should be adequately informed. Therefore, the Conference calls upon the Methodist people to keep up to date with information about the situation in Israel/Palestine, to reflect on the theology that is needed to form the basis of a proper Christian approach to the Israeli-Palestinian problem, and study what it means to be peacemakers in their own context.

\begin{quote}
In listening to Church Leaders and our fellow-Christians in Israel Palestine as well as leaders of Palestinian civil society we hear an increasing consensus calling for the imposition of boycott,
\end{quote}

\textsuperscript{115} See Section 5.3 of this report
divestment and sanctions as a major strategy of non-violent resistance to the Occupation. The Conference notes the call of the WCC in 2009 for an ‘international boycott of settlement produce and services’  and calls on the Methodist people to support and engage with this boycott of Israeli goods emanating from illegal settlements (some Methodists would advocate a total boycott of Israeli goods until the Occupation ends).

7.4.2 that the Faith and Order Committee engage, as soon as possible, with the theological issues, including Christian Zionism, needed to guide and support the approach of the Methodist Church to the Israeli/Palestinian situation in order to bring a report to Conference.

7.4.3 that, in the meantime, the Methodist people be encouraged to engage with such theological resources as are already available (listed in the Appendix) in order to reflect on the issues from a sound theological base and that such theological reflection should include the question of what it means for Christians to be peacemakers in their own situation within a broken world.

7.4.4 that the Methodist people be encouraged and enabled to support, by prayer, by visits to Palestinian Christians and churches, for example with those organisations that are listed in the Appendix and by whatever other practical means may be possible, our fellow Christians living in Israel/Palestine.

7.4.5 that Districts, Circuits and Churches be encouraged to use appropriate networks and organisations, such as those listed in the Appendix, to enable educational events to take place, so that Methodists can be informed of developments in the situation in Israel/Palestine, information can be shared at the local Circuit and Church level and appropriate action can be encouraged.

7.4.6 that Districts, Circuits and Churches be encouraged to support the World Council of Churches week of prayer and action for a just peace in Israel/Palestine at the beginning of each June and also to endorse the Just Peace for Palestine initiative of the Amos Trust.

7.4.7 that Methodists be encouraged to write to their MPs, MEPs and Government ministers to call for urgent help to be given to those who are suffering as a result of the Occupation. That the Methodist Council direct the Connexional Team to make appropriate representations to the UK Government and European Commission calling for action to bring an end to the Occupation and the siege of Gaza and for further Government pressure to be applied to allow a process of serious peacemaking to take place that eschews violent conflict.


\[117\] See http://www.justpeaceforpalestine.org/
8 Appendix A – Further Resources

(a) Organisations offering tours to Israel/Palestine
The Amos Trust, http://www.amostrust.org/
BibleLands http://www.biblelands.org.uk
Forum for Discussion of Israel and Palestine (FODIP) http://www.fodip.org
Alternative Tourism Group http://www.patg.org
Olive Co-operative
http://www.olivecoop.com
Rediscover Palestine http://www.rediscoverpalestine.org.uk

(b) Inter-faith organisations working in Israel/Palestine
The Interfaith Encounter Association (based in Jerusalem) http://www.interfaith-encounter.org/
The Interreligious Coordinating Council in Israel (ICCI) http://www.icci.org.il/
Neve Shalom http://nswas.org/
The Elijah Interfaith Institute (it has an international face but is hoping to build an inter-faith peace centre in Jerusalem) http://www.elijah-interfaith.org/

(c) Israeli organisations working for justice and peace (often in co-operation with Palestinian groups)
Israeli Committee Against House Demolitions (ICAHD) (http://www.icahd.org/eng/)
B'tselem (http://www.btselem.org/)
Machsom Watch (http://www.machsomwatch.org/en)
Women in Black (http://coalitionofwomen.org/home/english/organizations/women_in_black)
New Profile (http://www.newprofile.org/english/)
Combatants for Peace (http://www.combatantsforpeace.org/)
Breaking the Silence (http://www.shovrimshhtika.org/index_e.asp)
Israeli Palestinian Bereaved Families for Peace (http://www.theparentscircle.com/)

(d) Palestinian organisations working for justice and peace (often in co-operation with Israeli groups)
Sabeel – Ecumenical Liberation Theology Center (http://www.sabeel.org/)
Wi’am (http://www.alaslah.org/)
Tent of Nations (http://www.tentofnations.org/)
Grassroots Palestinian Anti-Apartheid Wall Campaign (http://www.stopthewall.org/)
Open Bethlehem (http://www.openbethlehem.org/)
Palestinian Center for Human Rights (http://www.pchrgaza.org/portal/en/)
Israeli Palestinian Bereaved Families for Peace (http://www.theparentscircle.com/)

(e) DVDs

The Iron Wall, A film by Mohammed Alatar, Palestinian Agricultural Relief Committees, available from ICAHD UK, PO Box 371, Leatherhead, Surrey, KT22 2EU, info@icahdorg.uk, or from the ICAHD UK website at http://www.icahd.org/icahdorg/eng/resources.asp. A powerful film on Occupation.

Jerusalem: The East Side Story, film by Mohammed Alatar, Palestinian Agricultural Relief Committees


Walled Horizons, A film by Roger Waters, Produced by the United Nations – Jerusalem, Directed by Johan Eriksson, a 15 minute introduction to the Separation Wall.

The Zionist Story, A film by Berek Joselewich

Waltz with Bashir, an animated documentary by the Israeli film maker Ari Folman

Private (15), A film by Saverio Costanzo, a film on the effect of Occupation on ordinary people

Walk on Water (15), Boston Jewish Film Festival winner

Paradise Now (15), Golden Globe Winner and Academy Award 2005, controversial depiction of two suicide bombers, but with no easy answers.

Death in Gaza, HBO film on the shooting of BBC reporter James Miller by an Israeli soldier.

Gaza – the Killing Zone, a Channel 4 Dispatches programme on the killing of James Miller and Tom Hurndell.

Peace under Siege, 2008, A Christian Aid video on the Occupation.

With God on our side, directed by Porter Speakman Jr.

(f) Books on Current situation


Obstacles to Peace: A Re-framing of the Palestinian-Israeli Conflict, Jeff Halper, 2009, Creative Commons, ISBN 978-965-90262-1-4


Also aid agency and human rights reports, such as:


(g) Books on Background history

The Jewish State, Theodor Herzl, 1896

(h) Books on In-depth history of specific periods


(i) Books on Jewish Christian Relations


(j) Books on the Christian perspective

Blood Brothers, Elias Chacour, 1984, Chosen Books
Blessed are the Peacemakers, Audeh Rantisi, 1990, Zondervan
Caught in between, Riah Abu El Assal, 1999, SPCK
Hebron Journal, Arthur Gish, 2001, Herald


***RESOLUTIONS

14/1. The Methodist Conference received the report Justice for Palestine and Israel.

14/2. The Methodist Conference adopted the Affirmation in 7.4.1 as its reply to NOM 226 (2009) “Israel/Palestine Working Group”

14/3. The Methodist Conference commended the report for reflection and action in Local Churches, Circuits and Districts.

14/4. The Methodist Conference affirmed and restated its support for
   a) the vital work of the World Council of Churches Ecumenical Accompaniment Programme for Palestine and Israel (EAPPI), encourages Methodists to volunteer for the programme and asks Churches, Circuits and Districts to make use of the experience of returning participants
   b) Christian Aid and other aid agencies working amongst Palestinians in Gaza, the West Bank and elsewhere in the region
   c) Israelis and Palestinians in all organisations working for justice and peace in the area.

14/5. The Methodist Conference directed the Faith and Order Committee to undertake further work on the theological issues, including Christian Zionism, raised in the report that are needed to guide and support the approach of the Methodist Church to the Israeli/Palestinian situation and to bring a report to Conference.

14/6. The Methodist Conference directed the Connexional Team to resource Churches, Circuits and Districts in their understanding of and engagement with the issues involved by:
   a) providing information on the networks through which they can keep up to date with developments in the Israeli/Palestinian situation
   b) making available study materials covering the present situation to include the witness and teaching of Palestinian Christians especially that contained in the Kairos document produced by Palestinian Christian leaders in December 2009.
   c) encouraging support for educational events around the Connexion.

14/7. The Methodist Conference directed the Connexional Team to make appropriate representations to the UK Government and the European Commission calling for action to bring to an end the Occupation and the siege of Gaza and for further Government pressure to be applied to allow a process of serious peacemaking to take place that eschews violent conflict.

14/8. The Methodist Conference encouraged
   a) Districts, Circuits and Churches to support the World Council of Churches week of prayer and action for a just peace in Israel/Palestine at the beginning of June each year and also endorse the Just Peace for Palestine initiative of the Amos Trust
   b) the Methodist people:
      i. to write to their MPs, MEPs and Government ministers to call for urgent help to be given to those who are suffering as a result of the Occupation
      ii. to visit the region of Israel/Palestine with those agencies which will help enable them to meet and engage with Palestinian Christians
      iii. to engage in respectful dialogue with Jews and Muslims on this issue.

14/9. The Methodist Conference noted the call of the World Council of Churches in 2009 for an international boycott of settlement produce and services and the support given for such a boycott by Christian leaders in Palestine in the “Kairos” document, Palestinian civil society and a growing number of Jewish organisations both inside Israel and worldwide and called on the Methodist people to support and engage with this boycott of Israeli goods emanating from illegal settlements.
14/10. The Methodist Conference directed the Methodist Council and the Connexional Team, as a matter of urgency, to consider and develop further ways in which the Methodist Church of Great Britain and its people, in conjunction with both ecumenical, inter-faith and other interested groups, can work for an end to the Occupation, an end to the blockade of Gaza, adherence to international law by all sides and a just peace for all in the region.

14/11. By a Standing vote the Methodist Conference commended all the peoples of the region to the loving care of Almighty God and urged the Methodist people to engage in regular, informed prayer for the needs of the Land of the Holy One.